

APA GUIDELINES for Psychological Practice with Women with Serious Mental Illness

TASK FORCE ON WOMEN WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS (APA DIVISION 35)

APPROVED BY APA COUNCIL OF REPRESENTATIVES
AUGUST 2022



**AMERICAN
PSYCHOLOGICAL
ASSOCIATION**

Copyright © 2022 by the American Psychological Association. This material may be reproduced and distributed without permission provided that acknowledgment is given to the American Psychological Association. This material may not be reprinted, translated, or distributed electronically without prior permission in writing from the publisher. For permission, contact APA, Rights and Permissions, 750 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20002-4242.

Suggested Citation

American Psychological Association (2022). Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Women with Serious Mental Illness. Retrieved from <https://www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/women-serious-mental-illness.pdf>



AMERICAN
PSYCHOLOGICAL
ASSOCIATION

APA GUIDELINES for Psychological Practice with Women with Serious Mental Illness

TASK FORCE ON WOMEN WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS

Task Force on Women with Serious Mental Illness

Erika Carr, PhD (Lead Chair)

Yale School of Medicine

Lauren Mizock, PhD (Co-Chair)

Fielding Graduate University

Shihwe Wang, PhD

Arlington, MA

Marcia Hunt, PhD

Yale School of Medicine

Stephanie Lynam, PsyD

Woodbury, CT

Meaghan Stacy, PhD

Yale School of Medicine

Pamela Remer, PhD

University of Kentucky

Viviana Padilla-Martinez, PhD

Pepperdine Graduate School
of Education and Psychology

Introduction

Serious mental illness (SMI) in the United States refers to major mental health disorders that lead to serious impairment in at least one area of functioning, including social, academic/occupational, and daily living activities (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA], 2020). SMI may include bipolar disorder, schizophrenia spectrum disorders, severe depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), personality disorders, and/or substance use disorders. However, just because an individual has one of the above diagnoses does not mean it is a serious mental illness; rather, they are within the realm of serious mental illnesses when they lead to serious impairment in the above areas mentioned and defined above by SAMHSA. There are many challenges that individuals may undergo due to their experience of SMI, including the impact of stigma and marginalization. Nearly 30 years ago, Anthony (1993) heralded a new era of mental health services that centered the perspectives and quality of life of individuals with SMI, yet the present day healthcare establishment may still find it radical to assert that “people with mental illness may have to recover from the stigma they have incorporated into their very being; from the iatrogenic effects of treatment settings; from lack of recent opportunities for self-determination; from the negative side effects of unemployment; and from crushed dreams” (p. 527).

In particular, women who experience SMI are at increased risk of challenges, such as domestic and sexual violence, homelessness, poverty, and single parenting in the United States (Mizock & Brubaker, 2021; Fries et al., 2014; Mowbray et al., 2003). According to the National Institute of Mental Health ([NIMH], 2019), recent prevalence data indicated higher rates of SMI for women (6.5%) than for men (3.9%). Additionally, the intersectionality of social minority identities for women with SMI can multiply their oppressive experiences through the combined effects of racism, sexism, ableism, classism, ageism, mental illness stigma, and other -isms (APA, 2019; Carr et al., 2015; Mizock & Carr, 2016).

The mental health field has historically struggled with its oppression of women. Throughout history, women have experienced all types of atrocities in the name of “treatment” of those with mental illness, such as lobotomies (at disparate rates compared to men), sterilization, involuntarily hospitalization and labeling as mentally ill for participation in the suffrage movement or for even just “disobeying” a husband, clitoridectomies, gender and racial bias in diagnosis, gender bias in prescribing, sexual assault and sanctuary trauma, and many more

challenging experiences (Mizock & Carr, 2021). Theories of gender differences have often reinforced a gender bias, as evidenced by the attribution of SMI to biology and the labeling of women as “mad” for not conforming to gender norms (Mizock & Carr, 2016; Ussher, 2011). Even after the third wave of feminism there is evidence of discriminatory practices towards women in the mental health field, ranging from clinical bias, misdiagnosis, and mistreatment, (Eriksen & Kress, 2008; Mizock & Carr, 2021; Mizock & Russinova, 2015; Usher, 2011). For clarity, it is also worth mentioning that for this document the definition of woman is any individual who self-identifies as a woman or any aspect of being a woman, not predetermined by what society depicts on a binary. Despite this, we do note that there are limitations of these guidelines, as everything cannot be covered in this one document; as we mention elsewhere, other guidelines may be helpful to refer to and be used in conjunction with these, such as the *Psychological Practice Guidelines with Transgender and Gender Nonconforming People* (APA, 2015), *Psychological Practice with Older Adults* (APA, 2014), *Psychological Practice with Sexual Minority Persons* (APA, 2021), *Psychological Practice Guidelines for People with Low-Income and Economic Marginalization* (APA, 2019), *Multicultural Guidelines: An Ecological Approach to Context, Identity, and Intersectionality* (APA, 2017) and the *Inclusive Language Guidelines* (APA, 2021). The Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (APA, 2017) offers basic foundational principles that provide guidance for how psychologists are encouraged to aspire to the highest ethical ideals in the profession, include Principle D: Justice and Principle E: Respect for people’s Rights and Dignity. These principles embody the ideals that all individuals benefit from psychology and that there should be equitable processes for them, including fairness and justice in the delivery of psychological services. Furthermore, psychologists shall respect the rights of people for self-determination and shall implement safeguards for the welfare of people that may be vulnerable, such as those who experience psychiatric disability. The APA Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Women and Girls have called attention to gendered oppression and the impact of such on mental health challenges in general (APA, 2018). This has been an important stride towards improved psychological practice with women and girls. However, given the unique and complex needs of women with SMI, we thought it valuable to develop guidelines particular to this population. Additionally, it is timely for the development of these guidelines on women with SMI to be written, as APA’s strategic plan is our plan as psychologists (APA, 2021). These guidelines map on to APA’s strategic goals of 1) Utilizing psychology to make a positive impact on critical societal issues, 2) Prepare the discipline and profession of psychology for the future and, 3) Strengthen APA’s standing as an authoritative voice for psychology. There is a powerful case written within these guidelines about the critical societal concerns that relate to the experiences of women with SMI

and especially given the intersectionality of oppression they experience — this has never seemed more apparent as these critical concerns have been highlighted in the Covid-19 pandemic. Additionally, if psychology is to be a national and global leader, we have to be an authoritative voice on these concerns and provide guidelines on such critical issues, as they develop, so that we can help make a difference in our communities, our nation, and the world. Relatedly, the discipline of psychology has to be constantly growing and improving its knowledge base and training with appropriate guidelines and mechanisms to be a leading writer of the future as it pertains to psychology and critical societal issues. These guidelines serve those functions and carry out the purpose of our strategic goals.

Purpose

The purpose of the *Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Women with Serious Mental Illness* is to aid psychologists in understanding aspirational tenets in providing clinical services to women with SMI in the United States. Though the collaborators of this document encourage and desire a global perspective, and mutual sharing and learning from an international perspective, it is important to clarify the purpose of the use of this document is related to working with women with SMI within national mental health frameworks and settings (to avoid misuse), as the guidelines are not broad or inclusive enough with other international perspectives. It is also imperative to recognize that the United States mental health field has much to learn from other countries and from cultures that work with people with SMI, specifically women with SMI in much different ways than our nation, in which we frequently hospitalize, medicate, and stigmatize people. This is reflected in the striking difference in outcomes for people with psychosis within many countries across the globe, spoken to via multiple studies initially investigated by the World Health Organization and then reinvestigated 15–25 years later (Sartorius et al, 2009; Sartorius, et al., 1996; Sartorius et al., 1972). These studies show that better outcomes occur for people with schizophrenia, outside of the United States and Europe, and in places that have quite a different perspective on care for those with psychosis within naturalistic and community settings.

As seen in the literature (Mizock & Carr, 2021), women with SMI in the United States are likely to experience unique challenges and experiences, which has the capacity to challenge us to develop the best methods of engagement in clinical practice. These practice guidelines can best direct professional behaviors and decisions of psychologists who work with women with SMI, as suggested by the criteria for practice guidelines set by the American Psychological Association (APA, 2015), and also provide a culturally responsive, trauma-informed approach to clinical engage-

ment with a focus on offering both equity of access and equity of outcomes. Moreover, these guidelines strive to be informed by recovery-oriented care models. Recovery refers to not solely symptom elimination alone, but living a life of satisfaction, meaning, and self-determination in the face of a major mental health problem (SAMHSA, 2012).

Recovery

Developed after consultation with persons with lived experiences of mental health and substance use problems, and other stakeholders, the unified definition of recovery in behavioral health fields is “a process of change through which people improve their health and wellness, live self-directed lives, and strive to reach their full potential.” Recovery-oriented care therefore addresses the four major dimensions of recovery: health, home, purpose, and community (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA, 2012]). The recovery-oriented care movement originated with the consumer movement in the 1960s and 1970s in which individuals in hospitals fought for their rights and the capacity to live autonomously in the community and to have a life that was more than just being a patient (Davidson, Tondora, Lawless, O’Connell, & Rowe, 2009). The experience of those with mental illness, then, was as much about the sociopolitical experience of stigma, marginalization, and discrimination as it was about mental illness. We strive to use the term “recovery” to refer to this lifelong process and healing, which recognizes the additive experiences of illness or trauma, but we want to note the fact that these are different in some ways due to such a life-changing event. Some individuals living with SMI also indicate that, after and within a process of recovery and healing, they feel as if they have evolved into an even better form of themselves or positively altering their identity, though recovery does not negate or erase the experiences they have had in their life.

Documentation of Need

There are many factors that reflect a need for the development of practice guidelines for women with SMI. The Committee on Professional Practice and Standards (COPPS) has specifically noted three categories that suggest a need for practice guidelines: (a) legal and regulatory issues, (b) public benefit, and (c) professional guidance (APA, 2015). We will address these categories and rationale for the development of the *Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Women with Serious Mental Illness*. We will move on to this next, in detail, and review it in relation to the legal and regulatory issues, ways in which these guidelines can benefit the public, and offer professional guidance.

As this relates to legal and regulatory issues, there are no distinct and clear guidelines on the impact of specific

practices that are part of the provision of mental health services, such as use of voluntary and involuntary medications, clinical interventions, seclusion and restraint, and the resulting impact on women with serious mental illness. It is vital that there is some guidance, due to the supporting literature indicating disparate and unique experiences of women. As for public benefit, these guidelines offer support for enhancing the treatment and efficacy of working with women with serious mental illness, who are particularly prone to the intersectionality of oppressions, i.e., experiencing the interactive effects of more than one -ism, such as sexism, racism, classism, etc., and who among them is at disparate risk for marginalization and stigma. With the development of these guidelines the recovery process of women with serious mental illness can be advanced and the field can also gain traction, with the literature that continues to grow. It is of note that as psychologists begin to use these guidelines, or as future revisions of the guidelines are developed, there may be additional reasons that document the critical need to inform clinical practice with women with SMI.

Legal and Regulatory Issues

APA indicates that where the legal and regulatory bodies are silent on assisting psychologists in recommended practices and there is a realized need due to the silence of these bodies, the development of guidelines may be enacted (APA, 2015). The notable gaps in the law and regulation of seclusion and restraints and its impact on those who experience such measures in the mental health system call for organizations and bodies, such as the APA, to help guide psychological practice. It is also important to note that while guidelines can help where laws and regulations may be silent on certain issues, the guidelines themselves do not supersede federal and state laws (APA, 2015).

Seclusion and restraints are still allowed in the United States in the delivery of psychiatric care despite the moral movement in the 1800s, which advocated for the elimination of this practice (American Psychiatric Nursing Association Position on the Use of Seclusion and Restraint, 2014). Many interested parties (National Association of Mental Illness [NAMI], National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors [NASMHPD], Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA]) have advocated for the elimination or reduction of the use of seclusion and restraint due to the traumatizing and potentially lethal impact they can have on those who experience its parameters, including both clients and staff (Curie, 2005; Frueh et al., 2005; Glover, 2005; Mental Health America, 2015). A two-decades-old Cochrane Review on seclusion and restraint for people with SMI concluded that since no controlled trials exist that evaluate the value of seclusion or restraint, and serious adverse effects are reported in qualitative reviews, the continuing use of seclusion or restraint

must be questioned (Sailas & Fenton, 2001). In fact, SAMHSA has called for the federal government to develop a unified policy on the use of seclusion and restraint for those with mental illness (Curie, 2005). NAMI has developed guidelines for the protection of the rights of those with mental illness that include the right to protection from harm, least restrictive environments, and never subjecting individuals to seclusion and/or restraint unless it is absolutely necessary to prevent imminent or immediate harm from occurring to the individual or someone else (NAMI, 2001). Relatedly, the director of the NASMHPD has made it a priority to reduce seclusion and restraint in state mental health facilities and advocated for the ultimate elimination of such practices (Glover, 2005). Since the NASMHPD has made this a focus in many state facilities there has been a 16% reduction in the use of restraint and a 45% reduction in the use of seclusion in those targeted facilities (Glover, 2005; Mental Health America, 2015). Restraint and seclusion are particularly problematic for women with SMI, with the high sexual and physical trauma rates, and PTSD rates (Grubagh et al., 2011), making this an experience that is prone for inducing re-experiencing of trauma, and for some, can mimic prior sexual trauma they have experienced (Carr et al., 2019). In relation, it is highly concerning given the data for the increased risk for sexual assault within inpatient settings for women with SMI and if in seclusion or restraint they may be at more risk for assault to occur, when in such a vulnerable state (Frueh et al., 2005).

Though these nationally recognized efforts exist, there are no overriding federal mental health policies or laws that have banned the use of restraint and seclusion. The laws and/or policies that do dictate use of seclusion and restraint are silent on offering psychological practice that is trauma-informed and recovery-oriented, which may decrease the use of such traumatizing practices. However, SAMHSA recently led a charge for reforming mental health care by integrating trauma-informed and recovery-oriented care in service delivery in order to reduce such punitive measures (SAMHSA, 2010). Therefore, given the high likelihood for retraumatization of women with SMI in our systems of care from such methods as seclusion and restraint, and the already incredibly high rates of trauma for women with SMI (Paksarian et al., 2014; Papalia et al., 2021), psychological practice guidelines can alert psychologists to these dynamics and subsequently attempt to decrease likelihood of retraumatization.

The American Psychological Association greatly values human rights, as evidenced by its code of ethics (APA, 2002) and APA's strategic plan (2021) which indicates that the discipline of psychology, and the academic, clinical, and other professional activities can and are encouraged to serve as a mechanism for securing and advocating for human rights. This would also include human rights beyond coercion and control of seclusion and restraints but center around the right for specialized and person-centered care

that understands the nuances of women with serious mental illnesses and their intersectionality, especially as it relates to oppressive experiences such as racism, sexism, homophobia, classism, and ageism. Such an approach is imperative when working with women with SMI, as this is consistent with the values and virtues of the APA ethics code to do no harm and protect the human rights and dignity of all people (APA, 2015). APA's strategic plan (APA, 2021) also embraces centrally the goal of human rights for all, and its innovative strategic plan is currently the guide for its goals, objectives, and action items as a whole organization and field, delivering global impact. Similarly, the World Health Organization (2017) has a strong focus on human rights and a mission to underscore the importance of understanding the disparate experiences of women with mental illness across the globe, as the medical field and different countries embark upon mechanisms to reduce these inequities.

Public Benefit

As with other guidelines, these professional practice guidelines for women with SMI also benefit the public in various ways (APA, 2015). It is believed that these guidelines can improve service delivery by targeting a diverse population that experiences unique challenges and the intersectionality of oppressive experiences (Carr et al., 2015; Mizock & Carr, 2021; Mowbray et al., 2003). Understanding these nuances and the implications of such experiences of women and thus how to provide psychological practice with women with SMI can only aid in the ability of psychologists to provide an effective and culturally responsive experience in our mental health systems. Without guidelines that call attention to the unique experiences of women with SMI, this group may be overlooked in the conceptualization of how service delivery is provided and thus the impact on this group that has particular concerns may go unaddressed.

A report from APA on the need for development of guidelines (APA, 2015) suggests that guidelines are needed when there is evidence that discrimination or bias can occur in the inappropriate treatment process of a group of individuals. As a result, practice guidelines can shed light on appropriate practice methods that would help avoid harm and make advancements beyond the context of a report noting that there is a need for this body of work. Relatedly, the literature indicates that women frequently encounter discrimination and bias from mental health professionals, including in the over diagnosis of specific disorders that are more stigmatizing, while often ignoring the role of trauma on symptom development (Archer, Lau, & Sethi, 2016; Eriksen & Kress, 2008; Mueser et al., 1998; Seeman, 2000; Usher, 2011). The guidelines for psychological practice with women with SMI call attention to a unique group of women, as their needs and unique concerns warrant further attention and understanding for appropriate engagement in the mental

health field due to particularly disparate risk for marginalization and oppression.

These practice guidelines also serve the invaluable task of meeting the needs of an underserved and incredibly vulnerable population. As the literature indicates, women with SMI have to navigate the experience of gender oppression, which plays a role in the development and experience of SMI for women (Mizock & Carr, 2016). Additionally, women with SMI are more prone to trauma, homelessness, poverty, and stressors of single parenthood (Jonikas et al., 2003; Mowbray et al., 2003), not to mention the deleterious effects of the intersectionality of multiple oppressive experiences (Carr et al., 2015; Mizock & Carr, 2016). Conceptualization of all of these dynamics is crucial in being able to offer psychological practice to women with SMI that is culturally responsive, ethical, trauma-informed, and recovery-oriented.

Professional Guidance

APA suggests that guidelines can also be developed to aid in new and diverse roles that psychologists fill in clinical practice or due to advances in theory and science (APA, 2015). The literature indicates that psychologists work in many diverse settings requiring organizational skills, as well as with individuals in public sector settings that treat people with complex concerns such as SMI (APA, 2009; Kohut, Li, & Wicherski, 2007). Though psychologists are serving in the public sector, working with this specialty population, and serving in roles requisite of organizational skills, there is limited literature and training experiences on leadership, clinical skill development, or organizational development (APA, 2009; Reddy, Spaulding, Jansen, Menditto, & Pickett, 2010). Moreover, many psychologists have not received specialized training in working with individuals with SMI, or women with SMI (Mizock & Carr, 2021). Some groups have been working on expanding the repertoire of training and specialization in the area of working with individuals with SMI such as the SMI Specialty Council, Division 18 of APA, Psychologists in Public Service – Section on Serious Mental Illness/Severe Emotional Disturbance and the APA Task Force on Serious Mental Illness (APA, 2009; APA/CAPP Task Force on Serious Mental Illness and Severe Emotional Disturbance, 2007). Relatedly, the Serious Mental Illness Specialty Council has recently developed a SMI specialization, which offers guidance on the appropriate training for working with people with SMI, as recognized in the *Education and training guidelines: A taxonomy for education and training in professional psychology health services specialties and subspecialties* (APA, 2020). However, there is still a need for more guidance on the psychological practice with women with SMI, which these guidelines are intended to address.

There have been some advancements at APA in the psychological practice with individuals with SMI, including

such documents as the *Proficiency in Psychology – Assessment and Treatment of Serious Mental Illness* (APA, 2009), the *Catalog of Clinical Training Opportunities: Best Practices for Recovery and Improved Outcomes for People with Serious Mental Illness* (APA/CAPP Task Force on Serious Mental Illness and Severe Emotional Disturbance, 2007), and the *Recovery to Practice Curriculum* (American Psychological Association & Jansen, 2014) and the specialization noted in SMI above. The new budding literature provides some evidence of the unique experiences of women with SMI and considerations for practice, but the development of these specific guidelines seeks to integrate the new literature and share that in a mechanism that can best inform psychological practice with women with SMI.

There has not been a specific, up-to-date document that has addressed the unique needs of women and the role of sociocultural contexts in a manner that highlights the higher prevalence of SMI among women than their male counterparts, (NIMH, 2017). A greater focus on equity, diversity, and inclusion and the cultural context of the experiences of women, as well as the impact of trauma is needed to update the knowledge of psychologists as they work with women with SMI. These guidelines are especially important and critical given that women have disparate experiences and face intersecting oppressions from multiple levels when they also have an SMI, providing the impetus for the development of this document.

The scope of these guidelines includes some of the more disparate challenges that women with SMI face and the related challenges and intersectionality of experiences, as well as ways in which psychologists can be aware of these to better inform their modality of professional activities. Some issues beyond the scope of these guidelines, to name a few, include the unique challenges of men with SMI, the intersectionality of serious medical challenges, and biological and psychopharmacological considerations.

Audience

The intended audience for the Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Women with Serious Mental Illness includes psychologists, students, trainees, and experts that provide clinical care, engage in training and/or education, fill leadership positions in mental health organizations, and conduct research. There are many professional settings in which psychologists may work that are highly relevant including, but not limited to community mental health, the Veterans Health Administration, state psychiatric hospitals, private psychiatric hospitals, academic medical schools, graduate schools, counseling centers, and even in private practice. These guidelines may also be valuable to educators, trainers in a variety of settings, community organizations, and decision makers involved in local, state, and/or federal policy making initiatives. Given that 6.5% of women experience an

SMI and SMI is higher among women than men, these guidelines have the potential to reach a considerable number of people in the general population that can benefit from such an addition to the field (National Institute of Mental Health [NIMH], 2019; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA], 2020). Furthermore, an APA employment survey of 22,502 psychologists found 30% of psychologists practice in health care settings such as VA medical centers, community mental health centers, and general hospitals, which are settings where individuals with SMI engage in healthcare services (APA, 2009; Kohut, et al., 2007). Since there are so many psychologists in these settings it is important to be aware that psychologists are part of multi-disciplinary consultation and interprofessional collaborative teams, with the propensity to impact care within and across systems. Ultimately, this unique population is served in many settings, by psychologists and trainees with diverse career trajectories and, thus, there is substantial need for these guidelines. These guidelines are meant to be used by the above noted professionals to increase the quality of person-centered and culturally sensitive care provided for women with SMI as it is evident, as above, that there is a gap in the literature on addressing the unique treatment needs of women with SMI.

Guidelines and Standards

It is important to clarify that *guidelines* are different from *standards*. In accordance with APA policy, guidelines are suggestions or recommended professional behavior and/or conduct for psychologists, whereas standards are mandatory and can be enforced (APA, 2015). In essence, guidelines are not mandatory or exhaustive. Hence, these guidelines are written so that psychologists can strive to work towards aspirational goals of practice and are not meant to be mandatory, with the understanding that they may not pertain to all psychologists, depending on their area of expertise and scope of practice. Guidelines are also developed to foster current advances in psychological practice. Similarly, guidelines do not take precedence over the professional judgments of psychologists founded on the knowledge base and scientific literature of the psychological field (APA, 2015).

Trajectory of Guideline Development

These guidelines developed as a product of the development of a Task Force on Women with Serious Mental Illness, which was established in Division 35, the Society for the Psychology of Women of the American Psychological Association. The Task Force on Women with Serious Mental Illness was proposed in 2013 to the executive committee of Division 35 and accepted for development. Later, the Task Force became a committee and the guidelines originated as

an action item from this working group. (For further history on the development of this task force and then committee and the ensuing development of guidelines, see Appendix B.) As a result, this document contains 14 guidelines for psychological practice with women with SMI. Each guideline includes a rationale section and application of the literature to psychological practice. It is noted that these guidelines apply to women from diverse sexual, gender, and other minority identities, and can be integrated alongside other guidelines such as the *APA Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Transgender and Gender Nonconforming People* (2015) *Girls and Women* (2018); *Practice Guidelines with Sexual Minority Persons* (2021); and the *Multicultural Guidelines* (2017). There was no financial support offered or accepted for the development of these guidelines; there were also no conflicts of interest. The authors of the guidelines extensively reviewed the background literature relevant to each guideline and included major findings that were relevant to each topic. Supporting literature for each guideline was further evaluated and augmented in the integration of suggested revisions from content experts. These experts specialized in APA guideline development and psychological practice with women and people with SMI. These guidelines were also reviewed and revised in accordance with APA's Association Rules 30-8, including a governance review and a 60-day public comment period. The guidelines underwent an extensive revision in response to suggestions when the draft was circulated for public comment.

GUIDELINE EXPIRATION.

The expiration date of these guidelines is scheduled for 10 years from their release, from the date by which they are approved by the Council of Representatives of APA. Thereafter, these guidelines will be reviewed and updated in accordance with Association policy to reflect new literature and the development of the field. To determine the current status of these guidelines, individuals are directed to contact the APA Practice Directorate.

Practice Guidelines

PRACTICE GUIDELINES

Guideline 1: Psychologists strive to provide recovery-oriented care to women with serious mental illness that acknowledges their right to self-determination

Rationale

Gender is “a critical determinant of mental health and mental illness” (World Health Organization [WHO], 2017), influencing the differential power and control that women have in their lives. For example, the higher prevalence of sexual violence to which women are exposed and the subsequent trauma-related sequelae makes it imperative to address trauma in psychological care. In terms of professional biases, mental health professionals are more likely to diagnose depression in women compared to men (Nolen-Hoeksema, 2001), even when controlling for self-reported and presentation of symptoms.

Men are also seen as more autonomous than women in the medical field and more in control, impacting the overlap of chronic pain and mental health problems, as men are more often prescribed pain medications for legitimate pain when women are not, but as a result women are more likely to be prescribed antidepressant medication (Ahlesen et al., 2012; Clark & Bennett, 2013; Fillingim et al., 2009; Racine, Dion, Dupuis et al., 2014). Moreover, manifestations of oppression besides sexism may interfere with self-determination. For example, classism, racism, xenophobia, etc., and their interactions with each other, can contribute to disparities in mental health services and may be present alongside sexism, and/or magnify its effects on women with SMI. These experiences also interact with one another and with trauma exposure. The intersection of these multiple factors may lead to repeated experiences of disempowerment, retraumatization, domineering relationships, and differential health care in women with SMI.

Recovery began as a social justice movement in the 1960s and 1970s, led by consumers with SMI (Davidson et al., 2009). Across many eras and cultures, the prevailing professional model of responding to SMI has been the medical model, a patriarchal, pathologizing, and institutionalizing approach to working with individuals with SMI. More recently, recovery has begun to penetrate the field of psychology. As a result, SAMHSA has come up with an official definition of recovery: “A process of change through which individuals improve their health and wellness, live a

self-directed life, and strive to reach their full potential” (2012). In essence having a mental illness is only one aspect of an individual who also has strengths, capabilities, goals, hopes, and the right to define their life as they desire (Davidson et al., 2009). The four major dimensions that support a life in recovery are health (physical and emotional), home, purpose, and community. Moreover, recovery-oriented services are also guided by principles such as being respectful, being culturally responsive, addressing trauma, and involving strengths and responsibility of individual, family, and community.

Evidence shows that there are life impacting consequences of the pathways of gender inequality and restrictive gender norms creating differential gendered exposures in health systems and access to care, also reinforcing gender-biased research reproducing gender inequalities (Heise et al., 2019). All of these cumulative experiences and consequences of structural inequality, via mechanisms of policies, laws, and institutions that are discriminatory determine the need for a systemic approach such as recovery-oriented care that seeks to understand the sociopolitical aspect of mental illness and recovery, while giving voice to the individual, and for the use of these guidelines for women with SMI.

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to provide recovery-oriented care to women with SMI. Again, the ten principles of recovery, as developed by SAMHSA, are useful tenets to use and guide the implementation of recovery-oriented care with women with SMI (SAMHSA, 2012). Those tenets of service delivery include, but are not limited to, the following: providing hope, offering person-driven mental health services, holding respect for the individual, recognizing and amplifying strengths/responsibility, addressing trauma, understanding and integrating the role of culture, providing a relational approach, offering peer support and holistic treatment, and understanding that recovery/healing occurs through many pathways. These goals for recovery-oriented care are guides for how psychologists can help provide services in a way that seeks to incorporate these tenets and abide by them as they seek to engage in the recovery process of the individual. These overarching tenets are meant to guide systems and psychologists to see those with mental illness beyond the scope of mental illness and from a less pathologizing framework to empower people to engage in a life of meaning as they define.

Practice applications

Analogously, from a recovery-oriented care perspective, person-first and non-stigmatizing language is encouraged (Titchkosky, 2001). Psychologists also may use the Inclusive Language Guidelines (APA, 2021) as a reference on language use. One aspect of care which may require alteration is the traditional treatment plan. This is being replaced by the individualized recovery plan across major behavioral health care systems (Tondora et al., 2014). Individualized recovery plans are similar to person-centered care plans, which are becoming standard practice in health care across the globe (Tondora et al., 2014; Ekman, et al., 2011). Not only are individualized recovery plans strengths-based, personalized, and culturally relevant, but they also continue to meet rigorous clinical documentation requirements by incorporating mental health and/or substance use issues that need to be addressed in recovery. Traits of recovery planning such as delineating the respective responsibilities of the consumer, provider, and her support network, focusing on the individual's personal goals and interests, and anticipating and preparing for a non-linear path of recovery, would communicate hope and strategies for a woman with SMI to overcome negative experiences of her illness, social position, stigma, and personal life history. The plan would also focus on the strengths and resilience of the individual as they seek community reintegration, recognizing this may occur via diverse pathways as each person seeks their own goals. These person-centered plans are also framed of course from the perspective of the person in recovery, providing that autonomy and voice in their own experience, fostering motivation for life and engagement in their own capabilities, despite having a mental illness.

Systems application

Another aspect for psychologists to attend to is the context and environment in which women with SMI receive health care, and the gaps that may cause care to be rendered in an oppressive fashion. For example, inpatient psychiatry settings, which this population may present to, historically abide by the medical model, which may be characterized by a problem focus, symptom reduction, medication compliance, and behavioral control (Slade, 2009). Health care provided within this framework is often incongruous to a recovery orientation, which, in contrast, promotes a holistic appraisal of the individual, believes in multiple mechanisms for a positive outcome, addresses trauma, and collaborates with the individual, family, and community (Davidson et al., 2009). Recovery also does not stop with symptom reduction but is a non-linear journey. Psychologists are encouraged to be aware of the role that they may play in facilitating feelings of personal safety with individuals (e.g., the orientation of office furniture and seating arrangement of parties). Furthermore, psychologists strive to recognize that

they may bring to light the potentially harmful practices and policies that may undermine recovery. Examples of these include but are not limited to: use of medications to control behavior, a lack of shared-decision making around choices and medication, the side effects of medications, room sharing, use of seclusion and restraint, and the under-identification of traumatic stress, dual diagnoses, lack of culturally sensitive approaches, and co-morbid health problems (Frueh et al., 2005; Davidson et al., 2009). Psychologists strive to identify and advocate alternative practices that promote recovery, such as respectful, culturally sensitive, collaborative provider-consumer communication, shared decision-making, positive behavior supports (Hamlett et al., 2016; Kunneman et al., 2018), and adequate identification and intervention on relevant medical and mental health issues (Davidson et al., 2009).

Psychologists are also encouraged to advocate for early intervention programs in systems of care and communities so that SMI can be mitigated or even prevented by reducing risk factors over the life course at key developmental stages of life. A meta-analysis of early intervention programs with psychosis indicates better outcomes in symptom severity, work or school involvement, psychiatric hospitalization, and treatment discontinuation in RCTs versus treatment as usual at 6, 9, 12, 18, and 24 months (Correll et al., 2018). Though there has been an immense amount of headway in early intervention programs for psychosis there has been less focus on other serious mental illnesses, until recently, but it is now being explored. This is crucial given that quality early management of mental illness may not only prevent the advancement of the illness, but can also reduce morbidity and mortality, as well as social isolation, death, poor daily functioning, and educational and vocational functioning (Gibb et al., 2010; Morgan et al., 2017; Walker et al., 2015). The literature is also expanding to identify youth during developmental pathways that may be at risk with clinical staging across a diverse range of serious mental illnesses to provide early intervention before these mental illnesses emerge or progress significantly (Addington et al., 2019). Of course, this will take a sociopolitical determinant of mental health approach as risk is related to more than biology and related to multifaceted public health factors. Such a perspective includes a life course approach related to prenatal periods through advanced age, understanding of environments and health care systems, incorporation of political and economic factors, understanding cultural norms, and exploration of the experience of poverty and marginalization and the associated cumulative stress over a lifespan (Allen et al., 2004).

Training applications

Recovery-oriented care may be incorporated into training and implementation of interventions such as brief education on trauma and self-management skill-building, while also recognizing the potential limitations of inpatient psychiatry (e.g., treatment duration, crisis stabilization). Additionally, all staff could be trained in trauma-informed care practices, from the support staff to clinical staff, as well as senior administration (Fallot & Harris, 2008). It is important to understand the literature on the use of inpatient hospitalization and the efficacy for its use, while remaining aware of the costs and risks of longer-term hospitalization such as the risk of retraumatization and institutionalization (Frueh et al., 2005).

One of the most fundamental elements all psychologists are encouraged to remember is that though they may be effective with some women with SMI, as psychologists have generalist training, there may be many women with SMI that have complex concerns that may be beyond their scope of competence. For example, there may be a patient that has the experience of suicidality, psychosis, and dissociation, which a provider realizes they do not have the expertise to provide clinical services. In such cases, following the APA code related to not operating out of one's scope of competence would be fundamental (APA, 2002). It is also important to note that there are many graduate programs, predoctoral internships, and postdoctoral fellowships that have specialized training in working with those with SMI that psychologists in training can consider

Cultural applications

Across various care settings, psychologists are encouraged to take care to infuse cultural sensitivity and self-determination into their work with women with SMI. A culturally responsive approach may often be overlooked in the service of women with SMI, despite the reality that it can be particularly valuable and beneficial (APA, 2017). A culturally responsive approach aims to not only be sensitive or aware but also be responsive to the element of culture and unique experience of the client. Literature on culturally responsive therapeutic relationships makes suggestions including, but not limited to, being culturally-informed, providing person-specific assessment of the presenting issue, exploring a person's perspective on seeking treatment and of the therapeutic relationship, and using technique-specific cultural modifications as appropriate (Asnaani & Hofmann, 2012). Additionally, psychologists are urged to utilize the *Multicultural Guidelines: An Ecological Approach to Context, Identity, and Intersectionality* (2017), which can provide more detail about expertise in cultural approaches.

Understanding the unique needs of women with SMI and providing an empowerment approach could potentially

allow women to tap into their strengths and capabilities as they embark on their recovery journey. An empowerment orientation allows individuals, families, and communities to gain influence over sociopolitical factors that affect their health and well-being (Worrell & Remer, 2003). This may mean women with SMI are empowered in advocating for specific housing, using legal services provided for those within public sector settings, and representing oneself with others in their own court hearings or with their interdisciplinary teams as they plan next steps in their treatment journey. This approach focuses on the strengths and resilience of the individual in their capacity and ability to deal with past, current, and future stress and trauma. For example, instead of constantly being a service-user the individual in recovery may work with psychologists to think about how they want to be an active participant in society, giving back, by teaching others how to do a skill, such as community gardening, offering voice lessons, or educating others about how to sign up to vote (Rowe, 2001).

Community and advocacy applications

Psychologists are urged to engage in state and federal advocacy to call out unjust laws and regulations that discriminate and cause active harm against women with SMI. Some of the best strategies, as indicated by research (McGinty et al., 2018), encompasses joining campaigns to help increase high public support for policies that can enhance support, equitable resources, and treatment for those with SMI, as those policies with high public support are more likely to pass (Stimson, 2004). Currently, despite desperate need there is low current public support for policies that help women with SMI (Barry et al., 2014) and instead some state and federal laws allow such harmful experiences within mental health organizations as seclusion and restraint. Engaging in and advocating for fundamental rights and social justice-oriented policies, resources, and the full rights of citizenship for women with SMI can have a huge impact. As psychologists join efforts that are designed to best impact public policy, these may be effective mechanisms in which the larger population of women and people who experience SMI experience structural and societal changes that foster opportunities and recovery. As psychologists do this they are urged to focus and give a voice to systemic and structural racism, sexism, and systemic barriers that have fostered years of denial of the full rights of citizenship for women with SMI. Psychologists may use the latest research related to this to provide evidence of the impact of this on women with SMI, including women with SMI and the intersectionality of experiences of oppression. Advocacy efforts can also focus on developing more funding for direct care psychologists since they are scarcely represented in public sector health systems, where women with SMI are most likely to receive services (Mueser et al., 2013)

Psychologists are also encouraged to be advocates for specific approaches in mental health service delivery that strive to offer social justice-informed interventions and services, such as access to adequate and evidence-based mental health care, housing, employment opportunities, and financial resources (Mizock & Carr, 2021; Carr et al., 2014). Similarly, psychologists are urged to recognize the potential need for care coordination and the importance of being familiar with other social services and natural supports, which seek to support women with SMI in their recovery journey

Psychologists strive to consider the use of technology-based interventions and the use of smartphones, text messaging, mobile apps, social media and messages on social media, and digital therapies to help women with SMI engage in the services that would be supportive of their recovery (Naslund et al., 2016). As technological interventions are recommended, it is also important to note the challenge and conflict that may arise as many women with SMI experience poverty and may not have all the technological resources or technological education as many in the community. This divide became strikingly apparent during the COVID-19 pandemic and cultural responsiveness and innovation was requisite in critical situations to serve people with SMI across the nation (Kopelovich et al., 2021). This was especially true as those with SMI were more at risk for contracting COVID-19, having poorer outcomes, and relatedly having a decompensation in mental health (Chowkwanyun & Reed, 2020; Williams & Cooper, 2020). Some of the lessons learned included methods of offering telehealth at a mental health center in a socially distanced office, if a client were not able to have the requisite technology (Kopelovich et al., 2021), and/or utilizing emergency funding from a public agency to provide clients with the requisite technology and training to connect with psychologists (Smith et al., 2020). Other innovations include being able to connect over a regular telephone line and augment this with more frequent outreaches to the individual over the phone (Kopelovich et al., 2021). SAMHSA (2021) has addressed many of these specific concerns and mechanisms for addressing inequities, finding solutions, and harnessing benefits for telehealth among this population in their guide *Telehealth for the Treatment of Serious Mental Illness and Substance Use Disorders*.

Furthermore, psychologists are reminded to be aware of the complex process, slow timeline, and costs of inciting and advocating for systems change. Psychologists are encouraged to practice their own self-care, support network, and burnout potential, as it applies to being a change agent or a minority opinion in a multidisciplinary setting that may be characterized by limited resources, overburdened mental health staff, and rigid public laws or policies

Research applications

Psychologists that engage in research related to the experiences of those with SMI are encouraged to unearth more of an understanding of the unique and intersecting experiences of women with SMI. They are also encouraged to do so from a culturally responsive and feminist approach, which takes in to account the multi-layered and intersecting oppressive experiences of women with SMI that contribute to a more complex and sociopolitical experience of their mental illness. Relatedly, researchers are encouraged to take caution to use assessment tools and instruments, which are applicable to women, including diverse women, so that their experiences may be best understood and highlighted from an ethically judicious framework.

GUIDELINE 2:

Psychologists strive to be aware of gender norms, expectations, bias, and discrimination and how these factors impact women's mental health and sense of self-worth.

Rationale

Sexism impacts differences in the rates, development, and recovery from mental illness among women (Mizock & Brubaker, 2021). Sexism and gender-based discrimination include imbalances in power and mistreatment related to gender, which occur in the form of stereotypes, attitudes, values, as well as individual and institutional discrimination (Logie et al., 2011). As a result of sexism, women are held to gender ideals and expectations in the dominant culture to be subservient, dependent, noncompetitive, emotional, sensitive, thin, traditionally attractive, and focused on their appearance (Erchull, 2015). When women do not conform to these gender expectations, they may be judged harshly by peers, family members, partners, the mental health field, and others in their social network, adding to mental distress (Hackett et al., 2019; Chrisler, 2012). From an early age, girls are socialized to direct their mental distress inward, resulting in a higher expression of internalizing disorders such as depression, anxiety, and eating disorders (APA, 2018). The intersectionality of sexism with other forms of oppression, while experiencing a SMI, such as racism, classism, homophobia, ageism, ableism, transphobia, colorism, sizeism, etc. only increases the experiences of oppression (Crenshaw, 1993).

Women face daily experiences with sexism in a number of ways that add mental distress and worsen their mental health. These experiences with sexism tend to include stereotypic gender role expectations, rigid beauty ideals,

disproportionate domestic labor responsibilities, barriers to attainment in education and employment, inequality in wages, higher rates of poverty, workplace stress due to sexism, imbalances in power in the family, workplace sexism and racist discrimination, microaggressions, as well as associated vulnerability to violence and sexual maltreatment (APA, 2017; Sojo et al., 2016). It has even been argued that the frequent experiences with sexism constitute a traumatic stress that increases the risk of poor workplace outcomes, psychological challenges, and the development of mental illness (Buchanan & Fitzgerald, 2008; Saunders et al., 2017). Notably, women of color that experience intersectionalities - such as racism and sexism in the workplace — experience lower supervisor satisfaction, higher job stress, and posttraumatic symptoms among a sample of African American women employees (Buchanan & Fitzgerald, 2008).

Application.

It is important that psychologists are mindful of the impact of sexism, as well as other forms of oppression, on the experiences of women with SMI. Psychologists are encouraged to read the Guidelines on Women and Girls (2018) as they integrate that body of work and those foundations with these specialized guidelines regarding women with SMI into their clinical applications. Psychologists strive to raise women's awareness of the impact of the sociocultural context on the lives and mental health of these women. Increasing women's awareness of oppressive gender-role messages and of institutionalized sexism empowers them by reducing self-blame and increasing awareness of stigma. Psychologists are encouraged to be thoughtful about their language use and use person-first language and non-pathologizing communication so that women with SMI experience psychologists seeing them as people first, rather than a mental health patient (Carroll, 2019). However, in some situations individuals prefer identity-first language and in every situation a provider is encouraged to use the language that the woman desires most, as they may have a positive disability identity. The most important element appears to assess the experience of each woman and their comfort with language, their preferences, and with what communities they identify. New research, such as the rejection-identification model (RIM) advocates that the deleterious effects of stigma, like negative self-esteem, may be less when collective members of the group that is stigmatized opt to identify with each other rather than the majority culture, thus developing disability pride and a positive disability-identity (Bogart et al., 2018). This is still a developing area, but disability pride may be a promising way to have protective factors against stigma. Psychologists also may choose to reference the *Inclusive Language Guidelines* (2021) to learn more about engaging in inclusive language.

Psychologists strive to conduct gender-sensitive assessments that investigate the impact of sexism, rigid gender roles, intersectionality of experiences such as racism and sexism, and traditional gender socialization on women's mental health (Archer, Lau, & Sethi, 2015; Malloy & Krishnamurthy, 2018). Importantly, psychologists also strive to understand birth cohort differences as far as gender norms and expectations, which impact the presentation of mental health concerns, but also might differentially impact the care provided women from different birth cohorts (Twenge, 2015). Psychologists may help women target the sources of sexist mistreatment through the involvement of family members in their mental health services (Eriksen & Kress, 2008), membership in women's empowerment groups, or connections to other resources in their community. Psychologists strive to support women with SMI to connect to these social supports and resources in order to bolster access to services, educational attainment, financial empowerment, and safety in order to reduce distress posed by inequities and sexism in their lives.

GUIDELINE 3:

Psychologists are encouraged to consider the intersectionality of identities among women with serious mental illness in how they uniquely impact their experiences with serious mental illness.

Rationale.

Intersectionality is important to culturally responsive psychotherapy and a vital issue for psychologists in promoting social change (Brown, 2009; Shields, 2008). Intersectionality theory may capture more accurately the intersecting experiences of marginalization and privilege across different social identities, including but not limited to gender, race, ethnicity, class, sexuality, age, disability, religion, and other identities, among women with SMI. Intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1993) is a theory that may enhance understanding how various social identities among women with SMI contributes to compounded levels of stigma, oppression, and privilege. Intersectionality demonstrates how constructs like race and class are not separate processes but intersecting social hierarchies that determine access to power (Collins, 2000) and may impact mental health (Lewis, Williams, Peppers, & Gadson, 2017). For example, instead of just focusing on issues of race for an Asian American woman with an SMI, we would take into account her identity as an Asian American, lesbian, upper class woman with an SMI. Furthermore, it is important to think of the experiences of Black transwomen with SMI and their

experience with violence within systems of care, higher discrimination, and greater likelihood for trauma, which the data more highly correlates with experiences of SMI (Brown & Jones, 2014, 2016; Sherman et al., 2021). Thus, there is immense value in understanding how such intersecting socio-politically oppressive experiences impact the development and or exacerbation of SMI, when one may be facing the intersectionality of anti-Blackness and anti-transgender violence, shown to destabilize mental health through psychological abuse (Jefferson et al., 2013; Nemoto et al., 2011; Sherman et al., 2021).

Similarly, intersectional stigma refers to the overlapping, multiple levels of stigma and discrimination faced by women with SMI from diverse backgrounds with regard to race, ethnicity, age, immigration, disability, sexual orientation, and other social identities (Logie, James, Tharao, & Loutfy, 2011). Women with SMI may be confronted not only with the stigma of mental illness, but also sexism, ageism, racism, classism, ableism, or homophobia, among others. These multiple aspects of stigma may pose added barriers and stressors to the lives of these women with SMI.

Application.

Psychologists take into account the impact of double stigma or intersectionality of mental illness stigma and sexism or another area of oppression on women's sense of self, relationships, access to basic needs, community integration, and mental health experience. Psychologists are urged to also read and integrate knowledge from the Multicultural Guidelines: An Ecological Approach to Context, Identity, and Intersectionality (2017), as this body of work can only enhance how these specialized guidelines on women with SMI can be implemented, as intersectionality is sought to be addressed. Psychologists are encouraged to facilitate treatment options that strive to reduce internalized stigma and help women realize that their experience is fraught with a sociopolitical context, rather than seen singularly through a pathological lens, where the problem is thought to only reside within the person (Worrell & Remer, 2003). Psychologists seek to inquire about the impact of intersectional oppression on women with SMI and learn of any mistreatment in mental health services or otherwise that might add to multiple levels of stigma and affect the therapeutic alliance. As psychologists increase in their understanding of the role that psychology has played in structural racism in society, psychologists are encouraged to read APA's Resolution on the Role of Psychology and APA in Dismantling Systemic Racism Against People of Color in U.S./Additional Resources (2021) to become more educated on psychology's role in structural racism and how to more effectively provide effective theories, models, and interventions for people of color, which are not harmful and racist. Psychologists strive to explore community resources

and opportunities that might enhance awareness of these aspects of intersectional oppression in the lives of these women and provide resources for overcoming the effects of multiple experiences with stigma. For example, psychologists aspire to support women with mental illness in gaining agency and helping others by becoming advocates to their peers. Psychologists may be agents of social change in raising awareness of the impact of oppression on the lives of women with mental illness

There are subgroups of women with SMI, i.e., special populations, to consider using an intersectional lens. For example, there are women with SMI who interface with the criminal justice system and have unique concerns in psychological practice. Women with SMI in the criminal justice system tend to report higher rates of trauma, more extensive histories in the criminal justice system, and higher risks of problems with substance use, assault, running away, as well as crimes related to drug dealing and property offenses (Lynch et al., 2012). Other special populations include immigrant and refugee women with SMI, who may develop the onset or worsened symptoms of SMI as a result of trauma and stress in the migration process (Donnelly et al., 2011). Hence, it may be important to take into consideration the unique needs of other special populations of women with SMI and inquire and understand the impact of these intersecting identities on their mental health needs.

GUIDELINE 4

Psychologists are mindful of the history of professional bias and stigma that has been directed towards women with serious mental illness and reduced their social power.

Rationale

.Historically there has been a challenging history in the psychiatric field with evidence of professional bias and stigma towards women (Archer et al., 2015; Eriksen & Kress, 2008; Seeman, 2000), and particularly women with SMI. Such experiences have marginalized women and disempowered them, reducing their social power, and denying their right for respect, autonomy, and self-determination. This has been demonstrated in many ways though some of the most apparent are in terms of disparities in the diagnosis and treatment of mental disorders (Eriksen & Kress, 2008; Seeman, 2000). Among medical providers, women are more likely to be diagnosed with many more mental disorders than men, even when self-report and presentation of symptoms are controlled for in studies (Riecher-Rossler, 2017). Interestingly, female gender is also a significant pre-

dicator of being prescribed a psychotropic medication for mood (WHO, 2017).

Professional biases propagated during undergraduate training, graduate training, and clinical training may include assumptions, from a medical model, that psychologists are better suited to working with clients without serious mental illnesses, because of the nature of psychotherapy (Furnham & Bower, 1992). In addition, there are some biases that individuals with SMI may not benefit from psychotherapy. This seems to reveal a stigma against SMI, despite the independence between having a SMI and having the insight, motivation, and/or skills to benefit from psychosocial interventions. Ironically, an empirical study done solely with clinical psychologists found that psychologists have trouble with stigma towards those with SMI, exhibiting the desire to distance themselves from people with SMI and disidentify with them (which is associated with stigma) (Servais & Saunders, 2007). Additionally, psychologists identified people with schizophrenia as more incomprehensible and ineffective than individuals with other mental illnesses and people with borderline features as undesirable and dangerous. This study is interesting, as many professionals in mental health have been studied (nurses, psychiatrists, medical students) and shown to have trouble with stigma and negative bias, but there has been some belief that psychologists may have a more favorable outlook on people with SMI. Not surprisingly, direct care psychologists are scarcely represented in public sector health systems, where individuals with SMI are most likely to receive services (Mueser et al., 2013).

Application.

Psychologists are encouraged to be aware of the historical bases of bias towards women in the field of psychiatry and engage in their own process of intrapersonal examination of any such conscious and unconscious biases they experience, which may impact diagnosis, treatment, or ideas regarding capacity for recovery. As psychologists engage in this reflection process, they are also encouraged to seek out consultation or peer supervision with other psychologists to empower their own capacity to work with women with SMI from a culturally responsive and mindful model.

Psychologists are also urged to consider infusing their approach to working with women with SMI with a feminist theoretical orientation. A feminist theoretical orientation integrates principles of person-centered therapies from a constructivist approach into unique treatment methods as the politics of clinical practice and the sociopolitical impact of mental health is examined (Brown, 2006). From this approach gender, social location, and power is critically addressed in all interventions. For example, from a feminist perspective, psychologists may examine the sociopolitical factors that relate to reasons that women have higher

lifetime prevalence of mood, anxiety, trauma-related, and stress-related disorders than men (Riecher-Rossler, 2017). Feminist therapy can be used for clients that identify gender in any way and another important aspect of the therapy includes the power relationship in the therapy process (Worell & Remer, 2002).

Revisionist or liberal feminist approaches which help rewrite old versions of feminism and attempt to dissociate from older feminisms that had frameworks developed primarily from a White woman's view can be reconceptualized and reenvisioned with more culturally responsive approaches (Martinez, 2020). Some psychologists may work in settings whose practices and culture may run counter to a feminist approach of empowering clients with self-determination and attempting to disrupt the assumption that the client is "less than" the clinician. In such cases, psychologists are encouraged to play an active role in transforming mental health care and find mechanisms to bring recovery-oriented values to organizations that have hierarchical models. This may be achieved by using literature to support such shifts in care and by emphasizing the value of such approaches, which are more respectful and person-centered, as well as by highlighting the potential for better outcomes (Davidson et al., 2009). Relatedly, other theoretical frameworks may be more appropriate with women of color, considering intersectionality and multicultural awareness, such as a Womanist approach (Bryant-Davis and Gobin, 2019), or the integration of culturally adapted psychotherapy approaches and/or tailored psychotherapies for diverse racial/ethnic groups (Griner and Smith, 2006; Smith et al., 2011). Along these lines, the training of psychologists could be revised to include more empirical and inclusive representations of SMI in coursework and clinical work, which may impact the level of stigma among psychologists. Training programs are also encouraged to put more emphasis in their overall training on clinical work with those with SMI, as well as the unique needs of women with SMI, which could increase the representation of psychologists in the public sector, where many with SMI are receiving services. Lastly, training programs are encouraged to evaluate their programs for discriminatory biases based on gender and SMI, as there are some programs that do not integrate psychotherapy into the treatment model and may not provide adequate training and education in these specialty areas, causing more bias in the field.

GUIDELINE 5

Psychologists endeavor to exercise diagnostic caution given that historically women have been disproportionately assigned diagnoses that incur greater stigma or pathologize gendered approaches to coping.

Rationale

There are known gender differences in the occurrence of mental disorders, including women's increased rates of depressive, anxiety, and eating disorders (Salk et al., 2017; Kuehner, 2017). Gender differences have also been found in diagnostic practices, with women often being negatively judged by professionals in the mental health field when not conforming to gender stereotypes (Eriksen & Kress, 2008; Klein et al., 2019). There is a profound history of labeling women as "mad" when not in compliance with gender stereotypic behavior (Ussher, 2011). While gender biases among diagnosticians may lead to over diagnosing (e.g., affective and personality disorders), it may lead to underdiagnosing (e.g., substance use problems) as well (Eriksen & Kress, 2008; Seeman, 2000). In effect, women have been pathologized for exhibiting socialization along gender-normative lines and/or binaries and also when they digress from expression or behavior along those lines. In effect, gender and coping as a woman in all its complexities and intersectionalities has been stigmatized and pathologized, historically and currently, impacting mental health (Lewis et al., 2016; Witherspoon et al., 2004).

Women with SMI also are at greater risk of certain traumatic events, such as sexual abuse, however, this is rarely diagnosed as PTSD or treated within public mental health clinical settings (Grubaugh et al., 2011). In a systematic review of 40 recent studies, researchers found that childhood sexual abuse is an important risk factor for borderline personality disorder, and sexual abuse across the lifespan predicted greater clinical severity as well as poorer outcomes such as suicidality (de Aquino Ferreira et al., 2018). Furthermore, trauma frequently goes untreated in borderline personality disorder due to concerns for behaviors (Harned, 2013). Instead, individuals may be met with disdain and resistance by those offering services in the mental health field as the clients' interpersonal styles may understandably be untrusting and complex, given their constellation of traumatic experiences. Ironically, this may set up a vicious cycle within mental health systems that resemble experiences of victim blame. This cycle may also impair and negatively bias the treatment that is provided in systems of care.

Clinicians tend to assign characterological diagnoses that stigmatize women's gender socialization of emotional expression, as well as the consequences of their elevated rates of trauma. This includes the over diagnosis of histrionic, borderline, and dependent personality disorders among

women (Eriksen & Kress, 2008). Such experiences are also frequently quite stigmatizing and may be an indication to professionals in the mental health field that this person is seen as "untreatable" (Eriksen & Kress, 2008).

Even the term, *serious mental illness* raises challenges for many psychologists who are concerned with medical model language that might locate the pathology within the woman rather than the dominant culture in which she encounters gender bias that contributes to her mental distress. This quandary poses a *diagnostic dialectical tension* (Mizock & Kaschak, 2015), where psychologists strive to balance avoidance of stigma with the power of naming a mental health problem to enable awareness, communication, and quality and appropriate treatment.

Application

Psychologists may experience tension in assigning pathological diagnoses to women with mental illness due to diagnostic stigma and the history of labeling women as mad (Ussher, 2011). Psychologists strive to work with clients in a collaborative manner to find names for the problem in order to reduce power differentials in diagnosis and enhance empowerment in the diagnostic process. Relatedly, they may offer an egalitarian approach to psychotherapy so as to increase self-efficacy, enhance and embolden capabilities, and reduce oppressive experiences in mental health systems. Psychologists are urged to perform gender-sensitive diagnostic evaluations that maintain awareness of the history of gender bias in this area and other forms of bias. Psychologists are encouraged to take into account the experiences of sexism on the development of a mental health disorder in their assessments to reduce gender bias in diagnostic practice, including incorporation of assessment of trauma, as trauma is strongly associated with SMI (Moradi & Huang, 2008; Grubaugh et al., 2011).

As psychologists also engage in reducing gender bias in diagnostic practice, they are encouraged to assess carefully to differentially diagnose neurodevelopmental conditions (e.g., autism spectrum disorder) and other neurological and physiological illnesses within women with SMI (e.g., neurocognitive disorders with psychotic features; accessing care to be able to complete imaging to assess for cancers, especially so if there are behavioral challenges). Psychologists can work to be strong advocates to work with a person's medical team given the higher comorbidities between SMI and a chronic and life-limiting illness. This can be extremely important and a social justice endeavor in itself, as women with SMI are less likely to be diagnosed and treated appropriately for other life-limiting conditions and also have a shorter life span (up to 10–20 years). Much of this is related to neurocognitive concerns, physical limitations, and lack of access to care and appropriate follow-up on such concerns

(Moradi et al., 2018). It is important to note that it is valuable to be person-centered in each of these encounters and to use sound professional judgment in realizing these applications.

GUIDELINE 6

Psychologists endeavor to employ trauma-informed practice and assessment of past or ongoing trauma in the lives of women with serious mental illness given that many are survivors of abuse.

Rationale.

Women with SMI have a high likelihood of a history of trauma, with some statistics estimating that as many as 51% to 97% have a physical and/or sexual assault history (Goodman et al., 2001). Women, in general, experience high rates of physical and sexual violence and abuse, with 1 out of 6 women in the U.S. experiencing either a completed rape or attempted rape in comparison to 1 out of 10 men experiencing rape (Rape Abuse and Incest National Network statistics, 2021). Women who have encountered trauma are also at increased risk of developing a mental health problem (Karlsson & Zilienski, 2020). In fact, of the many women in the world (about 3.3 million) who experience sex trafficking the majority experience mental illness such as depression, anxiety, PTSD, and disorders of extreme distress (Levine, 2017). In one collated study of 4 studies 78% of women survivors of sex trafficking experienced clinical anxiety, 52% experienced depression, and 37% had PTSD (Oram et al., 2012). The experiences of women survivors of sex trafficking also experience intersecting social factors such as poverty, family violence, migration, unemployment, gender inequality, etc. and may be more likely to be from families with a high prevalence of depression, schizophrenia, and alcohol use disorders (Levine, 2017).

Moreover, individuals with SMI have an elevated prevalence of trauma in general. According to a study by Mueser and colleagues (1998), 98% of individuals with SMI reported exposure to at least one traumatic event, and 43% met criteria for PTSD as a result of trauma. In addition, the first episode of psychosis may be traumatic in nature in itself and has been found to raise to the threshold of diagnosable PTSD (Mueser & Rosenberg, 2003). It is also paramount to mention the intersectionality experiences of women with SMI and trauma and other social identities that may even heighten the likelihood to experience traumatization and these intersecting challenges with mental illness. For example, lesbian, trans, and bisexual women who are Black

or Latino have a higher risk for trauma and report a higher risk of suicide than their White counterparts and the general population of Black and Latino women (Mihelicova et al., 2018; O'Donnell et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2016). Furthermore, trans women of color are at a particularly high risk of experiences of homicide and challenges with mental health (Carmel & Erikson-Scroth, 2016).

Traumatized women as well as women with a SMI are vulnerable to retraumatization (Goodman et al., 2001). Retraumatization frequently occurs in care settings in which a new incident stirs up the original trauma (Jennings, 2009). These multiple exposures increase the duration, frequency, and intensity of distress reactions (Duckworth & Follette, 2012). The triggering incident might resemble the original trauma in terms of content or interpersonal dynamics (Jennings, 2009). The psychologist and woman might be unaware of the retraumatization response as it occurs, and potentially aggravate the symptoms or retraumatize the woman again.

Retraumatization may also occur in an inpatient unit or other residential treatment facility, referred to as sanctuary trauma (Friehe, 2019). People with SMI are likely to be hospitalized and vulnerable to violence, abuse, coercion, and force in these settings. One study found that among participants with SMI, 8% had been sexually assaulted in a treatment facility, 31% had been physically assaulted, and 63% had witnessed a trauma (Frueh et al, 2005). Sanctuary trauma is so common that it has been proposed that healing from its effects is central to the recovery process (Anthony, 1993).

Application

Women with SMI are at risk of trauma, both as individuals with SMI and as women. These women are uniquely vulnerable to sanctuary trauma as well and may have histories of multiple traumas in mental health settings that may serve as barriers to care. Psychologists are encouraged to ensure their competence in treatment and communicate their experience to their clients to instill a sense of safety. Also, psychologists are reminded to use professional judgment as they navigate the complexity of addressing the results of trauma and the way it manifests. Psychologists are urged to be aware of the factors that mask symptoms of trauma or block access to help. Psychologists are encouraged to be aware of culturally responsive assessments for trauma experiences, which may be utilized and provide trauma informed care (Fallot & Harris, 2008). A trauma-informed care approach reframes a deficit-focus from "what is wrong with this person" to "what has happened to this person." This approach includes the four "Rs" of realizing the universal impact of trauma, recognizing the signs and symptoms of trauma among staff and patients, responding by incorporating knowledge base about trauma into better practices and policies, and working hard to resist retraumatization

(SAMHSA, 2014). Trauma-informed care also includes the principles of trustworthiness, transparency, safety, peer support and mutual self-help, collaboration and mutuality, empowerment, voice, and choice, while also incorporating historical, cultural, and gender perspectives (Fallot & Harris, 2009, SAMHSA, 2014).

An empowerment-oriented approach and strengths-based approach, such as recovery-oriented care interwoven in clinical work with women with SMI may enhance effective treatment and reduce retraumatization in mental health care (Davidson et al., 2009). It is fundamental, that just because many women with SMI may have experienced trauma that they are not seen as a problem to be fixed or from a deficit framework. Instead, psychologists are urged to help empower women with SMI using the strengths based and resiliency frameworks of recovery and trauma-informed care by honoring the capabilities, skills, and empowerment these individuals have in order to live the lives they have lived and engage in recovery, as they work towards lives of meaning.

The excessive use of force and restraints in mental health settings may traumatize and retraumatize women with SMI, therefore, as mentioned above incorporating trauma-informed care is critical. Psychologists are encouraged to implement policies in their organizations to avoid retraumatization of women in mental health settings given the history of sanctuary trauma and vulnerability to retraumatization while receiving services.

GUIDELINE 7

Psychologists strive to screen, assess for, and address the effects of sexual abuse, assault, and exploitation among women with serious mental illness.

Rationale

Sexual trauma appears to be a particular risk for women with SMI compared to their male counterparts. In one study, a significantly higher proportion of women compared to men with SMI had experienced sexual harassment (71% vs. 26%) and rape (51% vs. 11%; Rossa-Roccor et al., 2020). When considering psychosis, studies show that women with psychosis have a history of trauma and sexual abuse more often than men and a greater risk of psychosis occurs for women after traumatic life experiences than it does for men (Mayo et al., 2017; Cotton et al., 2009; Gibson et al., 2016). Evidence also shows that childhood trauma in particular, seems to predict psychosis onset (Mayo et al., 2017). If women are experiencing more childhood trauma this is a great risk for the

development of SMI - also in considering psychosis more recent studies indicate that though psychosis occurs early for men when it is evaluated at an older age late onset of psychosis occurs twice as often for women than men. Hence, in comparison to lifetime prevalence of psychosis the gap narrows significantly between the experience of it for men and women (Riecher-Rossler et al., 2018).

An older study found a rate of 55% of women receiving outpatient mental health services reported a history of childhood sexual abuse compared to 18% of men (Belk & van der Kolk, 1987). Mueser and colleagues (1998) found that among a study of men and women with SMI, 26% of men reported sexual assault during their lifetime, whereas 64% of women reported sexual assault. Inherently, there is striking evidence that sexual abuse, assault, and exploitation among women with SMI is a serious health concern. Additionally, women with the intersectionality of social and intellectual disability with SMI may be at an even exacerbated vulnerability, given that they are significantly more likely to experience sexual abuse than women without these disabilities (McDaniels and Fleming, 2016). In fact, sexual trauma may be a key contributing factor to the development of SMI (Belk & van der Kolk, 1987; Lipschitz et al., 1996).

A study that reviewed traumatic or harmful experiences in psychiatric settings revealed exposure to sexual exploitation may even happen within inpatient settings among individuals with SMI (Frueh et al., 2005), which calls attention to the need for understanding this risk and putting into place measures that strive to ensure the safety of women with SMI in such settings. Our systems of care are meant to be places of safety and geared towards aiding people in their journey towards well-being; if the very places they are going for treatment actually are more harmful, this presents a serious concern. Furthermore, there is the potential for abuse or neglect by personal care staff for women with SMI who receive assistance for activities of daily living (Grimm et al., 2018).

Thus, there is overwhelming evidence for concern regarding the prevalence of experiences of sexual exploitation among women with SMI and additionally this is of even greater concern as we recognize that the literature highlights that there is also a high prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) among people with SMI, compared to the general population (Mueser et al., 2002). Inherently, this has implications for understanding the potential consequences of sexual trauma among women with SMI. A review of studies indicates that between 29% to 43% of individuals with SMI experience PTSD, however, fewer than 5% of individuals have a PTSD diagnosis reflected in their chart or are being treated for it (Mueser et al., 2002).

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to inquire and offer screenings for trauma among women with SMI generally, as well as about lifetime abuse, childhood abuse, and recent abuse (Goodman et al., 2001; Mizock & Carr, 2021). Additionally, the value of doing so among women with childhood abuse histories, frequent psychiatric hospitalizations, experiences of homelessness, or substance use histories is essential, as the literature shows these factors predict recent trauma. Similarly, intake assessments and regular ongoing assessments ideally explore specific and behaviorally anchored questions about coercive sexual experiences, assaults, and threats, helping highlight any concerns about safety. As psychologists envision how to assess for traumatic experiences, they are encouraged to review the APA Guidelines for Psychological Assessment and Evaluation (2020) to best understand how to engage in assessment practices that are recommended, ethical, and culturally responsive in the psychology profession.

Given the high likelihood that significant trauma-related symptoms may go unrecognized or overlooked in the diagnosis or treatment of PTSD (Mueser et al., 2002) among women with SMI, psychologists are encouraged to carefully evaluate for PTSD and integrate this knowledge into treatment planning, clinical decision making, and choice of treatment interventions. Psychologists may also educate themselves further and integrate knowledge from the *Guidelines on Women and Girls* (2018) and the *Clinical Practice Guideline for the Treatment of PTSD in Adults* (2017), as conceptualization and treatment options are explored. Psychologists, as mentioned in the previous guideline above are encouraged to do this from a trauma-informed and recovery perspective that also seeks to not focus the problem in the individual or see them for their deficits, but value their strengths, capabilities, and resiliencies, which have helped them survive such experiences (Fallot & Harris, 2008; Davidson et al., 2009). These empowerment approaches foster the strength of the woman to recover and use her own abilities and power to do so rather than being viewed as a problem to be fixed or blamed for the trauma.

In light of the potential for women with SMI to experience even more sexual traumatization in psychiatric settings, psychologists who are in leadership positions are encouraged to bring to the forefront such concerns to administrators, supervisors, and clinicians (Frueh et al., 2005). Furthermore, psychologists are encouraged to create dialogues and enter into discussions about procedures, policies, and training efforts that aspire to ensure further sexual exploitation does not occur in our systems of care, affording us the ability to offer care that is humane and safe (Frueh et al., 2005).

In considering treatment options for experiences of sexual exploitation among women with SMI, and specifically schizophrenia, psychologists are encouraged to think

judiciously, using clinical judgment and consultation as needed, about the best intervention given the client's experiences with psychiatric symptoms. In the past decade, there have been a few pilot and feasibility studies suggesting the safety and promise of empirically supported treatments for PTSD in women with SMI such as cognitive behavioral therapy, Prolonged Exposure, Narrative Exposure Therapy, and Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing with adults with SMI (de Bont et al., 2013; Frueh et al., 2009; Mauritz et al., 2021). However, historically most large-scale studies such as randomized controlled trials use psychosis as an exclusion criterion and only more recently have they studied exposure in psychosis (Ronconi et al., 2009).

Newer literature is showing some promising findings for use of exposure even with women with psychosis or schizophrenia such as Eye Movement Desensitization, Prolonged Exposure, and Reprocessing Therapy and Narrative Exposure Therapy (Adams et al., 2020; Brown et al., 2019; Mauritz et al., 2021). Other exposure methods that have been highly praised as evidence-based such as Cognitive Processing Therapy still rule out patients with current uncontrolled psychosis, bipolar disorder, or significant cognitive impairment (Monson et al., 2018). This makes psychologists question what defines current or uncontrolled psychosis as many women with SMI will never not experience psychosis and it is a chronic condition, as is their experience of PTSD if left untreated. Similarly, older literature has repeatedly questioned whether exposure-based trauma treatment interventions are the best treatment for women with schizophrenia (in addition to psychosis being a rule out for some of these EBTs), as some women might experience retelling/recalling disturbing memories as highly distressing, which could lead to challenges with their psychiatric symptoms or symptom relapse (Goodman et al., 1997).

Researchers indicate that some women with schizophrenia may benefit from a more gradual form of exposure-based treatment or a social-learning approach to treatment (Frueh et al., 1995; Penn & Mueser, 1996). Relatedly, a social skills training model that addresses interpersonal skills (social perception and labeling, self-assertion, self-protection, self-expression, relational mutuality), intrapersonal skills (self-soothing, self-esteem, self-trust, self-knowledge), and global skills (initiative taking, problem solving, identity formation) may be particularly helpful for women with SMI that have experienced sexual trauma and includes a trauma-informed care approach (Harris, 1996, 1997; Goodman et al., 1997; Harris & Fallot, 1996). A newer study and development in treating specifically women with SMI (with 70% of those in cited study having schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder) shows the positive impact of combining music and verbal therapy as a treatment for PTSD (Langdon et al., 2018). For those women who have self-injurious behavior and PTSD, a combination of DBT and prolonged exposure has been shown to be more beneficial than DBT alone (Harned et al., 2014). It is also worth noting

that many well-known trauma treatments overlook the cultural and sociopolitical experiences context of trauma experienced by women of color, individuals who are trans or gender nonconforming, or women with disabilities. Instead, many randomized clinical trials overlook these factors in the therapist and client, rather than examining whether the treatment specifically works for the woman with SMI with unique and intersectional social identities as well (Goodheart et al., 2006).

GUIDELINE 8

Psychologists are encouraged to be mindful that women with serious mental illness are at higher risk of poverty, garner a social justice and citizenship perspective, and may lack access to adequate resources including supported employment, housing, education, and the full rights of citizenship.

Rationale

Poverty means not having sufficient resources to support oneself and has far-reaching implications for the capacity to meet basic needs and participate in educational, social, leisure, and community activities (Perese, 2007; Wilton, 2004). There is a multitude of evidence that poverty is associated with the experience of having an SMI, creating barriers for recovery (Deegan, 1993; Hudson, 2005; Perese, 2007). The greater degree of poverty among individuals with SMI contributes to the greater number of unmet needs, and more unmet needs are associated with a poorer quality of life and poorer health (Wiersma, 2006). Experiences with poverty and other intersectional factors also contribute to health disparities and significantly shortened lifespan for women with SMI (10-20 years decrease in lifespan) (Moradi et al., 2018; Sylvestre et al., 2018). In fact, SMI has been shown to be associated with \$193.2 billion dollars' worth in reduction in personal earnings for one year in the U.S. (Kessler et al., 2008). Beyond that, there is also evidence that women with SMI may experience poverty at a more disparate level than men with SMI, with one study indicating men with SMI have an average of earnings of \$26,435 over a 12-month period and women with SMI earning an average of only \$9,302 over a 12-month period, among individuals that had some type of earnings to evaluate (Kessler et al., 2008). This study also indicated that SMI significantly predicts reduced earnings in comparison to other psychological disorders. The extent of poverty may be demonstrated by findings that those individuals with SMI who are on a monthly Social Security Income check may only have

approximately \$120 per month left to cover personal items or recreational purchases after paying basic bills (Perese, 2007; Wilton, 2004). Considering intersectionality further, older women also have higher rates of poverty than men of the same age, are at risk for stereotype threat, being seen as weak, frail, dependent or passive and incompetent, and are more likely to be overlooked for medical examinations and mental health needs or to be referred for psychotherapy (Chrisler, Barney, & Palatino, 2016; Van Egeren, 2004).

Employment is also associated with a better prognosis among those with SMI, but the literature indicates the employment rate is poor among this group (Draine, Salzer, Culhane, & Hadley, 2002). Furthermore, the lifetime work experiences of individuals with SMI are limited (Draine et al., 2002). Successful employment is also linked with educational level, but educational level may be interrupted by the experience of SMI or associated other social barriers (Draine et al., 2002). Although one third of illness-related days out of work in the U.S. is related to mental disorders rather than physical illness, less attention and support is given to the experience of mental illness (Merikangas et al., 2007).

Poverty contributes to the social issue of homelessness among individuals with SMI, with the literature indicating between one-fourth to one-third of all homeless individuals experiencing an SMI (Folsom & Jeste, 2002; Sullivan et al., 2000). Individuals from some racial/ethnic groups are at even higher risk of homelessness, as evidenced by the literature indicating a higher risk of homelessness among Black/African Americans and Native Americans (Olivet et al., 2021). Additionally, being homeless and having an SMI is associated with poorer quality of life, increased risk of victimization, poorer access to health services but higher mental health treatment costs, a greater likelihood of inpatient psychiatric hospitalization versus outpatient treatment, and less capacity to make mental health needs a priority over the need to take care of primary survival needs (Folsom et al., 2005; Mizock & Carr, 2021; Rosenheck & Dennis, 2001; Wenzel, et al., 2000).

The risk or dangerousness of being homeless is well demonstrated in the literature. Findings from a large study of homeless individuals with SMI found that 44% of individuals had experienced violent victimization within the previous two months (Choe et al., 2008). Furthermore, the impact of poverty and the experience of homelessness is particularly difficult for women; the literature shows that one-third of women with SMI who are episodically homeless have been physically or sexually assaulted within the prior thirty days, and recent victimization is associated with increased symptom severity presentation (Goodman et al., 1997; Mizock & Carr, 2021). As the authors of the Recovery to Practice Curriculum indicate (APA & Jansen, 2014), homeless women are more vulnerable than homeless men and they may have children they are trying to care for while facing the dangers of homelessness.

As discussed previously these concerns related to poverty, employment, and housing are all aspects that relate to social justice and the right for equitable resources in society. Experience of the intersectionality of stigma of mental illness and these other marginalized identities calls for an integration of social justice change strategies and advocacy for the full rights of citizenship, in addition to a recovery approach (Carr & Ponce, 2021). Integrating a citizenship and social justice approach along with a recovery approach shows the value of advocating not only for these equitable resources and basic human rights, but the full rights of citizenship (Rowe et al., 2001). This relates to advocacy for the connection to likenesses of other people in society, such as relationships, valued social roles, resources, rights, and responsibilities that come with being a full member of society and not a partial or ostracized member of society (Rowe et al., 2001). Research also indicates when there is greater connection from this interconnected citizenship focus there is a foundation for mental health recovery built (Wong & Solomon, 2002)

Application.

Women with SMI face greater poverty than men with SMI, raising significant implications for how psychologists think about working with women in mental health treatment and how we conceptualize their experience with poverty. Psychologists are encouraged to start with striving towards cultural awareness and competence by developing their own knowledge related to the social experience of poverty and its impact on well-being among women with SMI. Calling on Sue, Ivey, and Pederson's (1996) well-known model for engaging in cultural competence, also adopted by the Multicultural Guidelines (APA, 2017; Sue, 2006), psychologists are urged to strive to understand the experience of poverty by women with SMI by understanding their own personal biases or values of poverty. Psychologists are urged to review the Guidelines for Psychological Practice for People with Low-Income and Economic Marginalization (2019) to also enhance their education and integrate such knowledge with these guidelines on women with SMI. Engaging in personal reflection regarding biases or values and how that may impact their understanding and engagement with a client is fundamental for engaging in cultural awareness. Psychologists are encouraged to make efforts to increase their own knowledge of the client's experience of poverty through open discussion, which intersects with other experiences, and work at having appropriate cultural skills to work with the client in a manner that is respectful of being culturally responsive to the experience of poverty and its intersectionality.

As psychologists strive towards cultural responsiveness with understanding poverty and its implications for women with SMI, they are encouraged to do so in a manner that is

consistent with the use of scientific mindedness, culture-specific skills, and dynamic sizing (Sue, 1998). In this respect, psychologists aspire to avoid making conclusions or assumptions without data about the impact of poverty on a woman with SMI (Sue, 1998; Sue, 2006). To expand on the concept of dynamic sizing, psychologists are urged to individualize and generalize a client's concerns in the treatment process based on person-specific information, as the psychologist explores the intersection of the client's various cultural contexts and multiple identities (Ridley et al., 2001; Roesircar et al., 2009; Sue 1998). Psychologists may integrate the use of dynamic sizing by understanding when to generalize and when to individualize the knowledge base on women's experience of SMI and poverty, which helps with problems that may occur by stereotyping. Without such a foundation, psychologists may fail to understand the experience of a woman facing poverty and how that may impact her mental health, recovery, and psychotherapy.

Psychologists are encouraged to work with the knowledge that women experience many disparities and negative life experiences due to poverty, therefore they are encouraged to strive to mitigate the experience of poverty and respective social problems such as homelessness, joblessness, and a lack of education. Working with such an understanding also calls for engaging from a social justice perspective with women with SMI, as the idea of social justice emphasizes the need to act for justice on the behalf of individuals who do not have equitable resources or power due to being marginalized (Constantine et al., 2007). Relatedly, as Vera and Speight (2003) point out, psychologists are recommended to take on diverse roles rather than staying fastidious to traditional roles. This translates into advocating for resources, such as financial assistance, housing, education, employment opportunities, and supported employment. As access to appropriate care and services is so vital, psychologists are encouraged to review the *APA Resolution on Advancing Health Equity in Psychology* (2021) and *Psychology's Role in Advancing Population Health* (2022) to understand more deeply how psychology is a profession that is focusing on advocating for human rights and has to focus on working within and across systems to advance population health and the rights for all to have equity in health care. Such efforts to become more educated as psychologists can enrich personal knowledge to help bridge such equity gaps and improve population health. By seeing oneself as a social change agent, psychologists have the opportunity to extend their reach into communities and affect the sociopolitical factors that play an impact on the experience of poverty among women with SMI and improve population health.

Psychologists are encouraged to engage in discussions with women with SMI around their employment status, satisfaction with employment, and/or desire for employment. Psychologists are urged to advocate for and locate employment resources if this is a desired goal of the individ-

ual. As the Proficiency in Psychology in the *Assessment and Treatment of Serious Mental Illness* (American Psychological Association, 2009) advocates, Supported Employment has substantial outcome data for its efficacy and is an approach to finding employment that includes a rapid job search, competitive wages for jobs, integrated vocational and mental health services, ongoing support once employed, and the honoring of client choice in occupation. Therefore, connection to opportunities for Supported Employment may offer important resources. The literature provides evidence for Supported Employment showing improved employment outcomes across diverse populations and settings (Becker & Drake, 2003; Bond, et al., 2001; Bond et al., 2008; Twamley et al., 2003), which is likely to have multiple effects on personal well-being.

Psychologists are urged to make efforts to understand the educational attainment of women with SMI, make efforts to support educational endeavors, and locate resources to engage in such agendas. Additionally, psychologists are encouraged to consider the option of resource connection to such supportive services as supported education (Nuechterlein et al., 2008). The Recovery to Practice Curriculum (2014) recognizes that supported education is generally recognized as supportive and helpful. Supported education aids individuals with SMI in obtaining, continuing, or gaining extra education and is a collaborative process with a specialist or team. Such programs may help women with SMI achieve personal learning goals or become successfully employed.

Psychologists are encouraged to make efforts to aid in the prevention of homelessness, mitigate the negative impact of homelessness, and instigate opportunities that lead to housing when working with women with SMI. Psychologists are also encouraged to foster interdisciplinary collaboration with social work, case management services, and community supports to help foster housing resources. The consensus in the field is that having safe, reasonable housing is one of the best first steps towards recovery (Housing First), and that providing stable housing decreases homelessness (Recovery to Practice Curriculum, 2014). Supportive housing integrates case management, support, psychotherapy, and skills training, as well as other supports for treatment for mental illness and any dual diagnosis (Padgett et al., 2011). The literature also indicates that Housing First models rather than Treatment First models have better outcomes for reduced substance use, avoiding relapse, and increasing retention. As the literature highlights, the high risk for women with SMI who experience homelessness, and the associated impact of homelessness, there are far-reaching implications for psychologists moving out of traditional comfort zones to aid with this essential need. Psychologists are also encouraged to explore and attempt to understand the nuances of the diverse needs of women with SMI that may be in different living settings such as long-term residential care, supportive housing, inpatient

psychiatric facilities, assisted living facilities, and nursing homes, as these different settings inform psychologists as to the unique needs and advocacy points that may be requisite due to such factors.

Lastly, psychologists are encouraged to embrace an integrated focus on citizenship, recovery, and social justice as they work with women with SMI (Carr & Ponce, 2021). This approach can help guide psychologists in understanding how each of these theoretical foundations and tenets of action can support women in recovery and the capacity to be full citizens in society. Relatedly, psychologists may engage in community-based interventions, supported by research, which may help women with SMI by engaging in advocacy on nonmental health issues at a macrolevel, also by providing support for supports and capital allocated by families, other mental health professionals, and friends so the woman with SMI in recovery can support others herself, with this valued social role, and by also engagement in helping protect shared public spaces and fostering everyday acts of civility as protective factors or counters to stigma-related microaggressions (Harper et al., 2017).

GUIDELINE 9

Psychologists are encouraged to support women as they choose how to engage in family responsibilities to enhance empowerment within these roles.

Rationale.

In the last 15–20 years there has been more attention in the literature highlighting the need to understand experiences of women with SMI who have important family responsibilities, such as parenting or motherhood. Mowbray and colleagues (2000), notable scholars on the topic of women with SMI in parenting roles, have discussed the long-held bias in the mental health field that women with SMI cannot fill such important roles as mothering or parenting; they also highlight that their needs or concerns are different than other women who may experience challenges such as poverty or urban living. The desire to be in a parenting or mothering role by women with SMI is increasingly being recognized. However, the literature indicates the desire to parent historically has been seen as a problematic or a pathological desire, and professionals have held biases that these women are, as a rule, unfit to be mothers (Montgomery, Tompkins, Forchuk, & French, 2005; Walsh et al, 2002). It is important for mental health professionals to maintain awareness of any biased views in order to best support women with SMI in their recovery journey. There is a grow-

ing body of research that indicates there is evidence for the capabilities of these women to fill parenting roles with appropriate support (Nicholson & Biebel, 2002). Unfortunately, there is also quite a bit of literature indicating there is very limited support for women with SMI that are parenting both from their own intergenerational family systems, friends, and the community (Carpenter-Song et al., 2014; David et al., 2011).

The literature indicates that women with SMI have normal fertility rates and have children at an average or even above average rate (Mowbray, Oysterman, & Bybee, 2000). There are also a significant number of women with SMI that serve as mothers or in parenting roles of children (approximately 10-65%). Interestingly, 10% to 15% of women develop a mental illness postpartum (Dipple et al., 2002; Mowbray, Oysterman, & Bybee, 2000; Nicholson & Biebel, 2002). Women with SMI are at a particularly disparate disadvantage with higher rates of suicide both perinatally and in the postpartum period, than women in the general population (Appleby et al., 1998; Austin et al., 2007). Though there is a high rate of postpartum challenges for women with SMI and in pregnancy, despite diagnostic category, there are not many programs that address such concerns (Frayne et al., 2019). Relatedly, there may be unique concerns that arise about medication use during pregnancy and breastfeeding that woman with SMI face, which is still considerably under researched (Orsolini et al., 2021). Women with SMI are more likely to face parenting as single mothers, experience lower socioeconomic status, begin having children at an earlier life stage, and are more likely to face family problems and victimization (Mowbray et al., 2001; Nicholson et al., 1998). Challenges that women with SMI face also include inadequate living circumstances, the effects of mental health symptoms and medication side effects, the challenges of dealing with stigma, and ever-present fears of having their children taken away by child protective services (Montgomery et al., 2005).

The fear of having their children taken away or losing custody is a realized concern, as the literature indicates women with SMI are at increased risk of losing responsibility for caring for or complete custody of their children, and therefore many women mask their parenting struggles and mental health issues (Hollingsworth, 2004; Nicholson et al., 2001). Some studies have reported more African American women experiencing child custody loss, while other studies indicate more white women lose their children (Lewis et al., 1997; Sands, 1995; Zuravin & Greif, 1989). There is evidence of a greater likelihood to experience child custody loss in the following circumstances: there are problems with parenting skills, being younger at the birth of the first child, having a greater number of children, experiencing single parenting, experiencing more personal distress, unemployment, or underemployment, experiencing homelessness, and having less social support or less social services (Hollingsworth, 2004). Literature does indicate that children of parents with

SMI are more likely to experience foster care, behavior problems, and psychiatric disorders themselves (Ghodsian et al., 1984; Jacobsen et al., 1997; Mowbray et al., 2001; Oyserman et al., 1992).

However, mothering can be a meaningful process for women with SMI, providing meaning and personal definition to life (Nicholson & Biebel, 2002). A qualitative study gives life to this identity process, as the identity of being a mother is seen as signifying normalcy, security, and responsibility, which are empowering aspects of parenting and distinct from the experiences women may face with an SMI (Montgomery et al., 2005). This study also highlights that rather than having a traditional, more negative biomedical outlook on the experience of parenting or motherhood among women with SMI, the mental health field may make mindful shifts to understand and appreciate the mothering efforts of women with SMI in the context of their challenges that are related to mental illness, which may aid in increased support and well-being.

The literature highlights some unique findings that have implications for empowerment among women with SMI in their chosen role of parenting or mothering. For example, Oyserman and colleagues (2002) found that social support, social stress, and financial stress have implications that affect parenting outcomes. These findings indicate that social support, adjusted income that is higher, and less stress have positive implications for maternal involvement in parenting roles among women with SMI. Furthermore, this study found current mental health functioning with fewer symptoms has implications for women with SMI being better apt to get social support, which in turn can impact parenting. Thus, better psychiatric functioning also relates to experiencing less stress, both financial and social.

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to become more aware of the literature on the value of mothering or a parenting role among women with SMI and the implications for clinical practice. There is a significant and growing amount of literature on this topic that can inform our understanding, bring to light our own biases or misassumptions as a profession, and provide a guide to advanced clinical engagement. In this process, and as psychologists engage in their areas of expertise, they are encouraged to also conduct an intrapersonal process in which they examine their own biases or assumptions they have held about the capabilities of women with SMI to engage in mothering or a parenting role. Psychologists are encouraged to utilize Sue, Ivey, and Pederson's (1996) model for cultural competence. Becoming more cognizant of our own biases or assumptions that we may have erroneously held can help psychologists to prevent treatment that is unjust, dismissive, marginalizing, stigmatizing, or paternalistic. With this increased awareness, psychologists may

be better apt to provide clinical services that espouse the general principles of the APA Ethics Code (2002) of equality, fairness, and avoidance of biases instead of exacerbating experiences such as stigma and marginalization.

As psychologists engage in clinical services with their clients, they are also encouraged to explore the personal meaning of the parenting or mothering role for each individual. Given that these roles might mean something very different per individual, it is important to understand the literature, but also interpret that within the context of the personal cultural experience and meaning for each woman with SMI. For example, one woman may embrace her role as a mother or parent and want to hold fast to those meanings, build on this part of her life, and find personal value and empowerment from such an identity. Another woman may have only experienced more hardship from the role of mothering and may not feel as negatively about the loss of custody. As researchers advocate (Mowbray et al., 2002; Oyserman et al., 2002), psychologists are encouraged to be careful to assess the status and current functioning of mothers with SMI, rather than assuming there are problems or intervention needs that may not be present. For women with SMI that want to engage in a parenting role, psychologists are encouraged to explore and incorporate literature on supporting parenting interventions that can help empower these women to fulfill such roles effectively (David et al., 2011). Recommendations for supported parenting programs include the development of supports that meet the need level of each mother and their stated emotional, psychological, and practical needs. One such supported parenting program showed positive outcomes for mothers' mental health status, access to needed services, and social support after they engaged in connection to instrumental resources (Nicholson et al, 2009).

For those women who fear they are at risk of losing custody of their children or have lost custody of their children and this is identified as a particularly challenging or painful process, psychologists are encouraged to aid their clients in being able to process the meaning of this experience, any difficult emotional reactions, and find appropriate social services to support such concerns. The literature highlights that family members may reinforce a sick role for mothers with mental illness, overlooking family members or women with SMI's own value for input or consultation regarding decision making about their children (Mizock & Carr, 2021). In this respect, family members may undermine the efforts of mothers to balance the demands of managing their mental illness and the roles of parenting, which can cause even more difficult dynamics. Psychologists are encouraged to explore the dynamics between family members or those that may have roles in parenting the children of a client. Psychologists may strive to empower the client to serve in the role of mother in the capacity she can and reinforce positive relational and healthy boundary dynamics with other parties involved. If a mother has lost custody of her children but

would still like a role in her children's lives, psychologists are encouraged to explore how that can be done and what supports can be incorporated to make that possible.

Psychologists are encouraged to engage their clinical expertise in helping treat any mental health symptoms women with SMI are experiencing, as the literature indicates current mental health functioning plays a part in parenting capacity (Oyserman, et al., 2002). Additionally, psychologists are encouraged to utilize the best evidence-based treatments and practices that can be beneficial for women with SMI, as suggested by the Recovery to Practice Curriculum (2014), including such treatments as: family psychoeducation, skills training, dialectical behavior therapy, metacognition and metacognition reflection and insight therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, assertive community treatment, acceptance and commitment therapy, psychosocial interventions for weight management, and cognitive remediation and illness management recovery (Lysaker et al., 2018; McDonagh et al., 2017; Razaque, 2013; Linehan, 2014). Developing up-to-date training and skills in evidence-based practices has the potential to impact outcomes. This approach is also very meaningful from a social justice perspective as the literature indicates there is a science-to-service gap issue (Drake et al., 2001; Farkas et al., 2007), meaning that the literature shows there are many evidence-based practices for individuals with SMI, but few actually receive those services. It is also worth noting that many evidence-based treatments focus only internally and ignore the cultural context, which could make a significant difference in how women and diverse women experience a practice that is deemed evidence-based by a randomized clinical trial.

As the literature indicates women with SMI may be single parenting and experiencing less social support (Hollingsworth, 2004), psychologists are encouraged to partner with their clients to ascertain levels of social support, interest in increased social support, and appropriate avenues for social support. The literature indicates that it may be helpful to assess what support individuals do have from family and their capacity to parent and engage in treatment (Mizock & Carr, 2021). For example, is there concrete support at times when a mother needs to attend a treatment appointment and needs childcare or is there support for actual treatment engagement from family members or loved ones in taking medications or for seeing a treating clinician? The literature also indicates it may be helpful to provide support to the family in the context of supporting parenting/mothering by an individual, while also trying to balance mental health needs (Nicholson et al., 1998).

As women with SMI are more likely to experience challenges with housing, financial support, and employment (Draine et al., 2002; Folsom & Jeste, 2002; Perese, 2007), which has likely implications for the capacity for involvement in parenting roles, psychologists are encouraged to attend to these potential needs and engage in roles as

community connectors, advocates, and social change agents to empower increased social resources and equity within the community. As the literature indicates, parenting under the stress of poverty and social challenges can impact the well-being of children and mothers, therefore intervening in this manner is imperative (Mowbray et al., 2000).

GUIDELINE 10

Psychologists strive to work to enhance the peer support network of women with serious mental illness to overcome social barriers posed by stigma and mental health symptoms.

Rationale.

Peer support is defined as when two or more people with similar experiences get together to share their experiences, to learn together how to move past the difficulties that these experiences have created in their lives, to give each other hope, and to support each other as they define their life in the way they wish (Copeland, 2015). The peer support National Practice Guidelines entail providing peer support on a voluntary basis in a manner that is hope-inspiring, open-minded, empathetic, respectful, facilitative of change, honest and direct, mutual and reciprocal, equitable in power relations, strengths-based, transparent, and person-centered (International Peer Support, 2016).

Peer support is an alternative and/or complementary response to medical treatment of mental illness. Some posit that peer support in its purest form, solely led and received by those who have experienced mental illness, by definition cannot be co-located within traditional mental health agencies or systems (Valenstein, 2015). On the other hand, health care systems such as Medicaid and the Veterans Health Administration have supported the integration of peer support providers as an innovative and effective strategy to improve clinical and recovery outcomes for the populations they serve. One form of peer support, called Intentional Peer Support (2016), stresses a new way of thinking about and inviting transformative relationships. Intentional Peer Support differentiates itself from traditional mental health and social services by viewing relationships as an equal partnership where both parties learn and grow. From this perspective there is not an assumption that there is a problem, and the goal is to promote a trauma-informed way of communicating and encourage the situation of one's life in the context of mutually accountable relationships and communities. Intentional Peer Support also encourages enacting what we want rather than focusing on what needs to be stopped or avoided. Supervision is also an important

element that is wrapped into quality peer support services so that peer workers can receive the appropriate support and guidance to engage in peer support in a way that is informed by their program model and aligns with the fidelity to such models (Intentional Peer Support, 2016).

Women with SMI may benefit from encounters with peer support, which aims to ameliorate and heal the typical limiting and/or harmful experiences that consumers have had with traditional mental health care. For example, these women may have experienced feeling inferior in the typical power dynamic between provider and patient. These individuals may have experienced disempowering and retraumatizing experiences in various outpatient, inpatient, and residential treatment settings. Furthermore, women with SMI who embody one or more identity dimensions potentially subject to sexism, ableism, classism, and racism, may greatly benefit and feel at home in interacting with a peer or peers to mirror and empathize with their identity and their life experiences.

Application.

Psychologists are encouraged to become knowledgeable about peer support organizations at the national, state, and community levels. They are also urged to become knowledgeable about resources and services offered by organizations, such as the National Alliance of Mental Illness (NAMI) and The Copeland Center. NAMI offers a 10-session course, called Peer-to-Peer; local availability of this is searchable through the NAMI website (NAMI, 2021). The Copeland Center is an international training organization that certifies facilitators of a manualized approach to recovery called Wellness and Recovery Action Plan (Petros & Solomon, 2020). States and local communities often have peer support services available at venues such as respite centers, recovery centers, and Clubhouses. Some of these models include peer support workers on integrated care teams, peer support on crisis service teams, peer support for medication assisted treatment for opioid use disorders, peer workers in criminal justice settings, peer workers in supported employment programs, peer workers on Assertive Community Treatment Teams (Gagne et al., 2018). Across these different service types, the literature does show positive outcomes in reduced inpatient service use, better engagement with services, higher empowerment, improved relationship with mental health professionals, higher levels of empowerment, better patient activation levels, and higher levels of hopefulness for recovery (Wright-Berryman et al., 2011).

Psychologists are also encouraged to consider systemic barriers to women with SMI as they access healthcare, such as the ability to bring children to appointments at the clinic where they receive their care, challenges with financial support, and/or transportation support to get to appointments and/or take medications. Psychologists are encour-

aged to be change agents and help think of creative solutions for the delivery of care to support women in their diverse experiences. Also, psychologists aspire to become well-versed in the state of the research evidence for iterations of peer support, such as peer-to-peer, Wellness Recovery Action Planning (WRAP), and psychologists and programs geared toward specific issues (Petros & Solomon, 2020). Such examples as one-to-one peer support was empirically examined in a randomized clinical trial and showed positive outcomes for self-efficacy for those with SMI when working with trained and qualified peer supports (Mahlke et al., 2017). Finally, psychologists are encouraged to advocate for women with SMI to engage in and perhaps to become providers of peer support. Given the possible accumulation of experiences that may erode the self-knowledge, self-concept, and self-confidence of women with SMI, it is especially important that psychologists be supportive in facilitating these individuals' mental health recovery and engagement in their communities, as self-defined.

Rogers (2017) reviewed the current evidence base on peer specialists and found that this service is especially useful in enhancing social support and mental health and coping, and reducing reliance on traditional mental health professionals. Peer support offers a unique opportunity for self-disclosure on the part of the peer specialist that is instrumental to forming the therapeutic alliance via shared lived experience. Additionally, community input and the voices of peers and people in recovery are warranted throughout every element of research development on this topic. Additionally, input from people in recovery is also needed and invaluable at every level of how mental health systems are developed and provide services (Tondora et al., 2014).

GUIDELINE 11

Psychologists strive to address the relationship goals and interests of women with serious mental illness to ensure safety and fulfillment.

Rationale

Though most people in the U.S. report engagement in a significant dating or partner relationship in their adult lives, individuals with SMI have greater difficulty having or maintaining sexual partnerships and/or marital relationships (Wright et al., 2007). The literature indicates that 30% to 70% of individuals with SMI report being sexually active, with fewer reporting a marital or long-term relationship. There are many theoretical explanations for this that point to the impact of discrimination, which is associated with stigma from mental illness or from physical side effects of medication (Agerbo et al., 2004; Carey et al., 2001;

Dickerson et al., 2004; Wright et al., 2007). Related to societal stigma of mental illness and pulling from modified labeling theory (Link, 1987), the literature indicates many people devalue individuals with SMI as potential spouses or partners due to the stigma of mental illness, with the most potent negative reactions drawn from the idea of entering a marital relationship with someone with mental illness (Link et al., 2004).

As Deegan (2001) relates, individuals with SMI experience the need for love, intimacy, and companionship just like the general population. However, they may fear rejection, withdraw from others in efforts to protect themselves, lack social skills needed to attract others, and choose to avoid relationships or withdraw to avoid rejection, which may reduce the likelihood of intimate relationships (Wright et al., 2007).

Wright and colleagues (2007) found that women with SMI are more likely than men with SMI to be sexually active, in contrast to the general population, and are also more likely to engage in unprotected, higher risk sexual encounters. Those authors also found that women with SMI are more likely than men to have concurrent sexual relationships. The unique challenges that women with SMI may face are also highlighted by the research that indicates 79.4% of these women have experienced physical assault by a partner or relative within the previous year (Cascardi et al., 1996), and are particularly prone to experiencing abuse in sexual relationships (Dickerson et al., 2004). A systematic review of research indicates women with borderline personality disorder have estimates of childhood sexual abuse at the rates of 16.1% to 85.7%, and there is more limited information about adult sexual abuse for women with borderline personality disorder though 3 studies, indicate it is more likely if the diagnosis is present (de Aquino Ferreira et al., 2018).

Familial relationships are also important to address in the lives of women with SMI, as the literature notes as many as 50% to 90% of people with mental illness are living with or have a family member as a caregiver and often face challenges such as stigma and discrimination by family members (Koschorke et al., 2017; Pernice-Duca et al., 2015). Some studies also indicate complexities such as family members both helping and fostering a recovery process, as well as hindering it (Aldersey & Whitley, 2015). In fact, there is research indicating adults with severe psychiatric symptoms experience vast amounts of family conflict. The literature indicates negative expressed emotion by families can lead to relapse for those family members with SMI (Butzlaff & Hooley, 1998; Murray-Swank et al., 2007). A qualitative study specifically explored the gendered experiences of women with SMI in relation to their family identified themes of disacknowledgement (family members' avoidance or denial of mental health symptoms of women with SMI), role shift (alterations in the role of a woman with SMI in the family), under-expectations (underestimations of the capabilities of women with SMI), and over-expecta-

tions (overestimations by family about abilities of women with SMI) (Mizock et al., 2021).

Application.

Psychologists are encouraged to explore the relational interests of the women with whom they work, who have SMI, and identify what value partner, marital, or sexual relationships serve for the individual. Psychologists strive to explore the support women have to foster the maintenance of healthy relationships, initiation of new relationships that are desired, and ability to have healthy boundaries within relationships and/or make empowering choices in relationships that may be abusive. As identified needs may come up in the area of requisite supports to pursue personal relational goals, psychologists are encouraged to provide appropriate psychosocial supports or connect individuals to advantageous resources. These could include avenues for exploring dating relationships, social skills training, therapeutic interventions or resources for intimate partner violence, and referrals to appropriate healthcare, which may address goals related to sexual activity and protection. Furthermore, psychologists are encouraged to explore in therapy the role of stigma on the relational goals of women with SMI and introduce mechanisms to mitigate the impact of stigma. For example, a psychologist may explore the impact of internalized oppression on a woman's belief that others may not be interested in her as a romantic or sexual partner because she has an SMI.

From an advocacy perspective, psychologists are encouraged to advocate for women with SMI that may be experiencing challenges within our mental health systems due to policies that marginalize the right to have romantic and/or sexual relationships. For instance, psychologists are encouraged to explore what policies inpatient psychiatric units have on the rights for sexual expression and offer support to foster romantic and/or sexual partnerships (Deegan, 2001). Psychologists are encouraged to look at this issue from a social justice perspective as many mental health systems ignore or deny the right for individuals with SMI to pursue such relationships. Additionally, psychologists strive to explore how community - or outpatient programs are organized to be inclusive of women who identify with different sexual orientations, gender identities, and gender expressions. Along these lines, psychologists are encouraged to integrate and educate themselves with other guidelines, such as the transgender guidelines, which may further advance practice. Psychologists are also encouraged to educate medical personnel, public service professionals in law enforcement and criminal justice, and other community members about socially just ways to work with women with SMI, which includes their need for relational goals.

Psychologists are encouraged to support women with SMI in healthy relationships with their family members and explore from the woman in recovery her self-defined desires

for those relationships, as those may be different than what family desires or wants. Since appropriate family support can be so important for recovery, psychologists may use empirically supported treatments such as Family Psychoeducation or Behavioral Family Therapy to support families in how best to maintain healthy relationships. This may relate to developing healthy boundaries, maintaining self-care, and coping for all involved, engaging in problem solving, understanding the experience of SMI for those that are family members and for the woman in recovery, and fostering differentiation and individuation from family, while also maintaining support (Harvey, 2018; Mueser & Glynn, 1995). Psychologists are encouraged to assess for the experience of over-expectations or under-expectations in familial relationships as this unique finding among women with SMI indicates being overtaxed in gendered caregiving roles can worsen symptoms of SMI and when women with SMI experience under-expectations they may be infantilized or seen in a gendered "helpless female" manner (Mizock, Salmonsén, & Smith, 2021). Psychologists may help women with SMI explore and evaluate their family roles and any role shifts to identify and help empower them towards roles that are desired and supportive of recovery.

Psychologists aspire to provide a crucially safe therapeutic space to psychologically process dissatisfaction or challenges in relationships and especially in the realm of experiences of violence/abuse. They are encouraged to assess issues of safety and empower women with SMI with tools that may enhance their feeling of safety, autonomy, self-determination, and choice. Additionally, in the face of experiences of sexual and/or physical abuse, psychologists aspire to acknowledge the impact of trauma on the lives of women with SMI and either provide, or refer to, appropriate psychological treatment for these concerns, if indicated.

GUIDELINE 12

Psychologists are encouraged to understand substance use treatment as an equal component of the mental health care of women with serious mental illness, as needed, and provide treatment for dual diagnoses.

Rationale.

The literature indicates that substance use disorders are the most common and significant co-occurring experience for people with SMI (Drake et al., 2004), with lifetime prevalence rates approximated at 50% among all individuals with the experience of a SMI (from a study of over 20,000 people in the U.S.) (Regier et al., 1990). Many other studies

report similarly high rates of the co-occurrence of substance use and SMI (Drake & Wallach, 2000; Mueser et al., 2000). The importance of this concern is multi-fold, as the co-occurrence of SMI and substance use disorders is associated with many significant challenges including, but not limited to higher rates of homelessness (Caton et al., 1994), victimization (Gearon et al., 2003), worsening symptoms of depression and increased risk of suicide (Roy & Janal, 2007; Wilcox et al., 2004), and increased family burden, interpersonal conflict, and financial problems (Mueser et al., 2003).

There is also research that indicates that women who experience mental illness are more vulnerable than men to the intoxicating and addictive components of drugs, which may have biological origins (Gearon & Bellack, 1999). Furthermore, based on evidence indicating that women become more easily intoxicated than men, they also develop substance use disorders sooner than men, making them particularly vulnerable (Lex, 1995; Shuckit et al., 1995). A meta-analytic study found a 17-fold difference in standardized mortality ratios for suicide in females with alcoholism in comparison to only a 5-fold difference in men with alcoholism who sought treatment (Wilcox et al., 2004). Furthermore, women that use drugs with a history of suicide attempts are at an 87-fold increase in suicides in comparison to the general population. Roy and Janal (2007) found that gender, family history, and childhood sexual abuse history made independent and significant impact on the increased risk for suicide attempts in those with alcohol dependence – in this study higher rates were also found among those with depression. A study on gender difference in the experience of schizophrenia provided evidence that the more benign course and presentation of illness typically seen in women with schizophrenia, as compared to men, disappears when women abuse substances (Gearon & Bellack, 2000). The combination of having these co-occurring challenges also significantly raises the risk for trauma exposure and resultant negative outcomes for women (Gearon et al., 2003). In fact, large scale studies indicate that the rates of physical and sexual abuse in women with SMI that are abusing drugs are notably higher than the rates seen among women in the general population, that are not abusing drugs and/or experiencing a SMI (Dansky et al., 1996; Cottler et al., 2001). One such study indicates a very high rate of physical abuse (81%) and revictimization in women with a co-occurring SMI and substance use disorder (Gearon et al., 2003). Additionally, this same study provided evidence that prevalence rates of current PTSD (46%) are much higher than previously reported for women with co-occurring SMI and substance use disorders (Gearon et al., 2003). Clearly, this has serious implications for the impact of the dual experience of these two challenging issues among women.

Application.

Psychologists are encouraged to fully assess women with SMI for the presence or warning signs of a substance use disorder, given the vulnerability to developing substance use problems and the impact of the co-occurring SMI. The literature indicates that women with some SMI diagnoses, such as schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder, are less represented than men in substance use treatment programs. Access to care and advocacy for the right to evidence-based substance use prevention and intervention may be seen as a social justice issue (Gearon et al., 2003). In this spirit, psychologists are encouraged to review the *Professional Practice Guidelines for Evidence-Based Psychological Practice in Health Care* (2021) to best understand how to think about evidence-based practice and also how to think about it in terms of culture and the intersectionality of experiences of women with SMI. Relatedly, psychologists are encouraged to advocate for treatment and access to that rather than incarceration and criminalization of women. Along these lines, a thorough review of the best modalities of treatment for the co-occurrence of substance use disorders and SMI recommends that effective treatments include integrated dual diagnosis treatment (IDDT), which address both concerns simultaneously, rather than offering treatment in silos (Dixon et al., 2009; Drake et al., 2004)

In addition to access to evidence-based practices, women with SMI and substance use disorders ideally may be afforded the opportunity to have effective components of treatment, such as individualized treatment that addresses personal factors, engagement in treatment, relapse prevention, stages of motivation, and the ability to develop skills and supports (Dixon et al., 2009; Drake et al., 2004). Consideration for integrated residential treatment, especially long-term, of one year or more, may be considered for those women who do not respond to outpatient treatment, as the evidence is better for such treatment modalities (Drake et al., 2004). Psychologists are also encouraged to recognize the diverse settings where services can be delivered in multiple modalities such as primary care, faith-based organizations, community centers, and via teletherapy and/or other digitized modalities, with appropriate resource connection (Ashford et al., 2020). Additionally, psychologists are encouraged to create new innovative long-term treatment facilities that can meet the unique needs of women with SMI that experience substance use challenges.

Given the multitude of more significant problems with the duality of the experience of SMI and substance use disorders, and the particular vulnerability for women for increased trauma exposure, PTSD, and suicide risk (Gearon et al., 2003; Wilcox et al., 2004), psychologists are encouraged to regularly screen for recent victimization among women with SMI and substance use disorders (Goodman et al., 2001). This investigation is recommended to be part of the intake assessment and be done in an ongoing manner,

including specific and behaviorally anchored questions that may elucidate whether women are experiencing coercive sexual or physical experiences or threats, which impinge on their feeling of safety (Goodman et al., 2001). If there is an experience of trauma, psychologists are encouraged to also treat that aspect of their current presentation and need for safety, while simultaneously addressing the concerns of their experience of SMI and substance use. For women with past or current histories of trauma, the treatments offered preferably are trauma informed (Fallot & Harris, 2008), as well as the systems in which they are offered

GUIDELINE 13

Psychologists strive to focus on the safety of women with serious mental illness to reduce the risk of suicidality and enhance coping strategies.

Rationale.

Among those with SMI, risk of suicide varies from 8.5 (schizophrenia) to 15 (bipolar disorders) to 20 (major depression) times the expected rate, calculated by a standardized mortality ratio (Harris & Barraclough, 1997). Moreover, the presence of eating disorders, which are generally not considered a SMI, but which increase suicide risk, may be a pertinent consideration during provision of clinical care, given their prevalence among women. The risk of lifetime suicide attempts for eating disorders is extremely high. Findings indicate that for those people with anorexia-nervosa binge-eating/purging type it can be as high as 44.1%, followed by 31.4% for bulimia nervosa, 22.9% for binge-eating disorder, and 15.7% for anorexia nervosa restricting type (Udo et al., 2019). As mentioned in the prior guideline women are at a 17-fold difference in mortality ratios for suicide who have challenges with alcohol disorders compared to a 5-fold rate for men with an alcohol disorder who sought treatment (Wilcox et al., 2004). Furthermore, women with drug use and a history of suicide attempts are at an 87-fold increase in suicides.

There are some additional issues to consider regarding suicide and women with SMI. One is the comorbidity between SMI and Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD). Between 40% and 65% of individuals who commit suicide meet criteria for a personality disorder, the most common of which is BPD. A Borderline Personality Disorder diagnosis co-occurs in 46% to 56% of women diagnosed with PTSD, and in 10-20% of women diagnosed with bipolar disorder (McGlashan, 2000). BPD is more commonly diagnosed and treated in women versus men (approximately 70% versus 30%, respectively) (Lieb et al., 2004). Estimates of the mortality rate from suicide of those with BPD are as high as

10% (Paris et al., 2001). For individuals with BPD and suicidality who enter treatment, it is suggested that the priority is to decrease suicidal behaviors by increasing behavioral control, during which the severity of suicidality may be actively and consistently monitored.

There is little data that pharmacotherapy reduces risk of suicide or attempted suicide, and pharmacotherapy or hospitalization may not be effective for women with BPD (Soloff, 2000). Rather, results from randomized controlled trials of Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT), a form of structured outpatient psychotherapy that includes skill building in emotion regulation, mindfulness, interpersonal effectiveness, and distress tolerance, as well as intensive psychotherapy with coaching calls, indicate that an aggressive, outpatient treatment, which rarely hospitalizes, shows lower rates of suicide attempts than standard treatment (i.e., emergency services and inpatient treatment) (for a review, Linehan, 2010). Thus, DBT reduces the risk of suicidal and self-harm behaviors.

Though women with SMI respond differently to medications than men little attention is paid to this in dosing and in guidelines (i.e., antipsychotic prescription guidelines; Anthony & Berg, 2002). Gender-specific factors such as smoking, exercise, substance use, hormonal transitions, body build, diet, and concurrent medications matter greatly in the experience and metabolization of such medications and also inherent safety factors (Seeman, 2004). Though men and women with SMI are at increased risk of comorbid physical health conditions and have a shorter life expectancy than the general population, women with SMI, have a disparate rate of years of more years of life lost than men with SMI (Chang et al., 2011; Druss et al., 2018).

Another relevant issue for women with SMI is perinatal suicide in mothers (Austin et al., 2007; Oates, 2003), with two-thirds of perinatal suicide among women with SMI in some reported studies. Female suicide, versus male suicide, is less associated with unemployment, adversity, single status, and divorce (Oates, 2003). Suicide is the leading cause of maternal death based on a national U.K. report (Oates, 2003). Among women with depression in the perinatal period, 1 in 3, thoughts to harm themselves and 24% attempt suicide (Healy et al., 2013; Mauri et al., 2012). Maternal deaths due to psychiatric causes such as suicide, substance use, and homicide have been measured at 12%, with suicide accounting for the majority, at 10%. Among these deaths by suicide, 68% were related to an SMI such as psychosis or severe depression. That epidemiological report indicated that most maternal suicides were by violent means, such as by hanging or jumping, rather than from medication overdose. However, in contrast to previous studies on gender differences regarding the method of suicide, women were more likely to die by non-violent means such as overdose. Of women that have SMI and are housed in a psychiatric unit, without their child, for their

postpartum year their risk of suicide is 70 times greater than women in the general population (Appleby, et al., 1998).

Remarkably, in the cases of maternal suicide, it was rare that mental health or maternity professionals knew of the risk of suicide, and to an even lesser extent had implemented behavioral management plans, despite a previous history of SMI or post-partum depression among these mothers. Of the maternal deaths by suicide, 46% had documented mental health problems and were in contact with mental health services (Oates, 2003). Furthermore, oftentimes even when previous postpartum psychiatric history was recorded, postpartum depression was the diagnosis on record even when postpartum psychosis may have been more accurate and indicative that more intensive interventions may have been warranted.

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to know the higher rates of suicide among clinical disorders such as major depression, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, substance use disorders, and eating disorders. Furthermore, particular attention could be paid to outpatient outreach, evidence-based interventions, and psychiatric screening and behavioral management for BPD, PTSD, substance use disorders, maternal perinatal and postpartum depression, anxiety, and psychosis. It is vital to integrate sound professional judgment with gender-specific knowledge into decision-making regarding suicidality. For example, psychologists are encouraged to assess factors related to the hormonal process, impact of medications and their interactions, specific for each woman, and work within advocacy frameworks to address such concerns with interdisciplinary teams and supports of women with SMI. Psychologists are encouraged to access resources dedicated to increasing the collective knowledge about the needs of women related to such concerns and use the literature to become more educated about how hormonal factors impact the side effects of medications, safety factors, suicide risk, and dosing needs (Mizock & Carr, 2021). Increasing this awareness could potentially help many individuals to get the appropriate level of services to meet their needs. Additionally, as the experience of pregnancy is a potentially distressing and an at-risk time in life, psychologists and others providing psychological services to women with SMI could try to connect expectant mothers to appropriate supports, which could potentially mitigate the likelihood of perinatal and postpartum depression and psychosis (and thus mitigate suicide risk). Resources related to engagement in parenting, while also receiving support that more directly facilitates women's own mental health recovery, have the potential to make a life-altering difference for these women.

GUIDELINE 14

Psychologists strive to be aware of the unique risks to women with SMI related to their physical health, mental health, and overall well-being, during a global health crisis, such as Covid-19, as well as the intersectionality of concerns and advocate for appropriate support and services.

Rationale.

The Covid-19 pandemic hit the world in a traumatizing manner across the globe. Not surprisingly, the disparities that individuals of marginalized identities face became even more challenging and showed the great divide between those that have different levels of power, privilege, and -isms on a daily basis. This great impact of Covid-19 was also felt by those who experience SMI. The literature shows that those with SMI have a higher risk of infection with Covid-19 and a higher risk of hospitalization, morbidity, and mortality (Li L et al., 2020; Wang & Volkow, 2020). Concerns that make those with SMI more at risk include poorer prior physical health, reduced access to medical care, lower socioeconomic status (which we know women with SMI are at increased risk), concomitant medications, overcrowding, obesity, and smoking. Given that individuals with SMI are less likely to also receive guideline appropriate care for cancer and cardiovascular health (other high-risk comorbidities for Covid-19) this is of increased concern.

Of great concern is also the intersectionality with disparities experienced by BIPOC individuals during the Covid-19 crisis, as Covid-19 cases and hospitalization rates are 2.5 to 4.5 times higher among Hispanic, Black, and Native American people than White people (U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020). Additionally, Black individuals have died at twice the rate as White individuals and there are higher infection and death rates in socially disadvantaged counties in the U.S (Khanzanchi et al., 2020). Studies also indicate that the foundational implications of these inequities experienced in this pandemic include systemically racist policies, like historic racial segregation and downstream effects on disparities such as transportation, economic opportunity, housing, education, food, air quality, health care, and each of these factors are associated with Covid-19 exposure and severity through direct and indirect mechanisms (Laster, 2020).

People with SMI have historically already been over-represented in acute physical health care episodes which are addressed in emergency departments and hospital settings; thus, this has left them with less care during a pandemic that has demanded all the attention of acute medical settings (Davydow et al., 2016). As the trend is less access to care for other, non-Covid-19 related concerns, those with SMI, with less access to other specialty care providers are at

increased risk for falling through the gaps for provision of their physical health needs. Ironically, before the pandemic people with SMI received low quality of care in these settings for things such as acute coronary syndrome and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, thus increasing their risk during a pandemic (Jorgensen et al., 2018; Mitchell & Lawrence, 2011). For women who are already less likely to have their coronary concerns addressed in comparison to men (Stehli et al., 2019), and who have an SMI, such concerns are understandably terrifying.

Concerns related to having to social distance and use technology, when poverty, access to technology, and mental health symptoms, which impede some to engage in technology are also a concern. For example, remote forms of communication and telehealth could increase paranoia and delusions for some patients and intertwine the experience of the pandemic into psychotic symptoms (Gellar and Daou, 2020). Furthermore, those with cognitive challenges may not understand the seriousness of the situation and may need close contact, for hygiene or behavioral concerns, with care providers. These care providers, who are in personal protective equipment (PPE), may be likely to spread infection or increase agitation or aggression with close contact and thus traumatization. Anxiety has impacted many people across the globe and women in China, specifically have had a significant increase in posttraumatic stress symptoms (Liu et al., 2020).

In hospital settings, essential restrictions are in place. There has been limited movement of patients within the building or outside the building, negating the rights of those with SMI to fresh air, visits, and other community outings meant to build wellness (Gellar and Daou, 2020). Restrictions and not being able to have outings and experiences of crowding can lead to increased behavioral challenges, more staff involvement, increased use of restraint, as well as increased patient and staff exposure. Patient's rights are being violated in many ways and many times patients have to stay much longer in a hospital than necessary due to not being able to discharge to community settings (Gellar and Daou, 2020). Relatedly, as many women with SMI experience poverty and homelessness, many shelters have changed their capacity to house individuals therefore, placing women with SMI at increased risk for traumatization if they are living out on the street. The social isolation expected in a pandemic can be especially harmful, when that is one of the recovery mechanisms that many women with SMI report as helpful to recovery (Mizock & Carr, 2021).

Application

Psychologists are encouraged to assess the experiences of women with SMI and the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic. Psychologists are urged to read the literature on inequities and the intersectionalities of inequities among women with SMI during this pandemic and as they work with this population advocate for the rights of women with SMI for appropriate treatment, access to health services, and access to appropriate Covid-19 vaccination and treatment if needed. Since those with SMI are seen as a vulnerable group now in the pandemic and at increased need for the vaccine this right can be advocated for with urgency. As vaccination is explored in conversations with women with SMI, use of an education model that can help individuals understand the pros/cons of vaccination may be helpful. As increased morbidity, mortality, and hospitalization of those with SMI is a risk, psychologists are encouraged to provide appropriate support during this time and follow the literature on creative and nuanced ways of providing support and mental health care. Access to telehealth and/or other ways of providing creative and quality care during this difficult time, even if that is a specific socially distanced method of service delivery is encouraged (Kopelovich et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2020).

Since women with SMI experience disparities with trauma and poverty in the face of the Covid-19 pandemic psychologists are urged to pay attention to the safety and support needs of women with SMI. For example, if a shelter is closed due to a Covid-19 outbreak, where is a woman with SMI, who is also experiencing homelessness, with her child going to go for respite? It is important to think as advocates during this time that highlights the disparities of women with SMI and other intersectionalities even more. Additionally, as women with SMI may have to stay in inpatient psychiatric hospitalization settings longer psychologists are urged to continue to provide trauma-informed care (Roger and Falot, 2008) and recognize how the increased limitations and negated rights may be affecting women. It may be possible that women may be at increased risk for trauma within sanctuary settings, as they will be there longer according to the literature (Gellar and Daou, 2020) and the literature indicates women with SMI are more likely to be traumatized within inpatient settings (Frueh et al., 2005).

References

- Abram, K. M., & Teplin, L. A. (1991). Co-occurring disorders among mentally ill jail detainees: Implications for public policy. *American Psychologist, 46*, 1036-1045.
- Adams, R., Ohlsen, S., & Wood, E. (2020). Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) for the treatment of psychosis: a systematic review. *European journal of psychotraumatology, 11*(1), 1711349.
- Addington, J., Liu, L., Goldstein, B. I., Wang, J., Kennedy, S. H., Bray, S., ... & MacQueen, G. (2019). Clinical staging for youth at-risk for serious mental illness. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry, 13*(6), 1416-1423.
- Agerbo, E., Byrne, M., Eaton, W. W., & Mortensen, P. B. (2004). Marital and labor market status in the long run in schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 61*, 28-33. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.61.1.28>
- Ahlsen, B., Mengshoel, A. M., & Solbrække, K. N. (2012). "Troubled bodies—troubled men: a narrative analysis of men's stories of chronic muscle pain," *Disability and Rehabilitation, 34*(21), 1765-1773.
- Aldersey, H. M., & Whitley, R. (2015). Family influence in recovery from severe mental illness. *Community Mental Health Journal, 51*, 467-476. Doi: 10.1007/s10597-014-9783-y
- Alexander, M.J. (1996). Women with co-occurring addictive and mental disorders: An emerging profile of vulnerability. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 66*, 61-70.
- Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R., & Marmot, M. (2014). Social determinants of mental health. *International Review of Psychiatry, 26*(4), 392-407.
- American Psychiatric Nurses Association. (2014). *APNA position on the use of seclusion and restraint*. apna.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3728
- American Psychological Association. (2002). Criteria for practice guideline: Development and evaluation. *American Psychologist, 57*, 1048-1051. doi: 10.1037//0003-066X.57.12.1048
- American Psychological Association. (2017). Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct (2002, amended effective June 1, 2010, and January 1, 2017). <https://www.apa.org/ethics/code/>
- American Psychological Association & Committee for the Advancement of Professional Practice Task Force on Serious Mental Illness and Severe Emotional Disturbance. (2007). *Catalog of clinical training opportunities: Best practices for recovery and improved outcomes for people with serious mental illness*. www.apa.org/practice/resources/grid/index.aspx
- American Psychological Association. (2009). Proficiency in Psychology: Assessment and Treatment of Serious Mental Illness. *Developed by Psychologists in Public Service and the APA Task Force on Serious Mental Illness and Severe Emotional Disturbance*. <https://www.apa.org/practice/resources/smi-proficiency.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2014). Guidelines for psychological practice with older adults. *The American Psychologist, 69*(1), 34-65.
- American Psychological Association & Jansen, M. (2014). Interventions III: Promising or emerging practices and supporting services. Reframing psychology for the emerging health care environment. *Recovery curriculum for people with serious mental illnesses and behavioral health disorders*. American Psychological Association.
- American Psychological Association & Jansen, M. (2014). *Recovery to Practice Initiative Curriculum: Reframing Psychology for the Emerging Health Care Environment*. American Psychological Association.
- American Psychological Association (2015). Psychological practice guidelines for transgender and gender nonconforming people. *American Psychologist, 70*, 832-864. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0039906>
- American Psychological Association (2015). Professional practice guidelines: Guidance for developers and users. *American Psychologist, 70*(9), 823-831.
- American Psychological Association (2017). *Clinical practice guideline for the treatment of PTSD*. Retrieved from <https://www.apa.org/ptsd-guideline/ptsd.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2017). *Multicultural guidelines: An ecological approach to context, identity, and intersectionality*. <http://www.apa.org/about/policy/multicultural-guidelines.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2018). Psychological practice guidelines on women and girls. *American Psychologist, 62*, 949-979.
- American Psychological Association. (2019). *Guidelines for psychological practice for people with low-income and economic marginalization*. Retrieved from www.apa.org/about/policy/guidelines-lowincome.pdf.
- American Psychological Association (2020). *APA Guidelines for Psychological Assessment and Evaluation*. <https://www.apa.org/about/policy/guideline-psychological-assessment-evaluation.pdf>
- American Psychological Association (2020). *Education and training guidelines: A taxonomy for education and training in professional psychology health service specialties and subspecialties*. <http://www.apa.org/ed/graduate/specialize/taxonomy.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2021). *IMPACT: APA's strategic plan*. <https://www.apa.org/about/apa/strategic-plan/impact-apa-strategic-plan.pdf>doi:10.103/0003-066X.62.9.949
- American Psychological Association. (2021). Professional Practice Guidelines for Evidence-Based Psychological Practice in Health Care. <https://www.apa.org/about/policy/evidence-based-psychological-practice-health-care.pdf>
- American Psychological Association (2021). APA resolution on Advancing Health Equity in Psychology. <https://www.apa.org/about/policy/resolution-advancing-health-equity.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2021). Inclusive language guidelines. <https://www.apa.org/about/equity-diversity-inclusion/language-guidelines.pdf>

- American Psychological Association (2021). Role of psychology and APA in dismantling systemic racism against people of color in U.S. <https://www.apa.org/about/policy/resolution-dismantling-racism.pdf>
- American Psychological Association (2022). Psychology's Role in Advancing Population Health. <https://www.apa.org/about/policy/population-health-statement.pdf>
- Angermeyer, M., Matschinger, H., & Holzinger, A. (1998). Gender and attitudes towards people with schizophrenia. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 44*, 107-116.
- Anthony, W. (1993). Recovery from mental illness: The guiding vision of the mental health service system in the 1990s. *Psychosocial Rehabilitation Journal, 16*, 11-23. doi:10.1037/h0095655
- Anthony, M., & Berg, M.J. (2002). Biologic and molecular mechanisms for sex Differences in pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and pharmacogenetics, partII. *Journal of Women's Health and Gender-Based Medicine, 11*, 617-629.
- Appleby, L., Mortensen, P. B., & Faragher, E. B. (1998). Suicide and other causes of mortality after postpartum psychiatric admission. *British Journal of Psychiatry, 173*, 209-211.
- Archer, M., Lau, Y., & Sethi, F. (2016). Women in acute psychiatric units, their characteristics and needs: A review. *Psychiatric Bulletin, 40*, 266-272. doi: 10.1192/pb.bp.115.051573
- Ashford, R. D., Bergman, B. G., Kelly, J. F., & Curtis, B. (2020). Systematic review: Digital recovery support services used to support substance use disorder recovery. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 2*, 18-32.
- Asnaani A., Hofmann S. G. (2012). Collaboration in multicultural therapy: establishing a strong therapeutic alliance across cultural lines. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 68*, 187-197. doi:10.1002/jclp.21829
- Barry, C. L., McGinty, E. E., Pescosolido, B. A., & Goldman, H. H. (2014). Stigma, discrimination, treatment effectiveness, and policy: Public views about drug addiction and mental illness. *Psychiatric Services, 65*(10), 1269-1272.
- Beck, J. C., & van der Kolk, B. (1987). Reports of childhood incest and current behavior of chronically hospitalized psychotic women. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 144*(11), 1474-1476. doi: 10.1176/ajp.144.11.1474
- Becker, D. R., & Drake, R. E. (2003). *A working life for people with severe mental illness*. Oxford University Press.
- Belle, D. (1990). Poverty and women's mental health. *American Psychologist, 45*, 385-389. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.45.3.385
- Blank, R.M. (1995). Outlook for the US labor market and prospects for low-wage entry jobs. In *The work alternative: Welfare reform and the realities of the job market*. Ed. by D. S. Nightingale & R. H. Haveman. Urban Institute Press.
- Blaska, J. (1993). The power of language: Speak and write using "person-first." In Nagler, M. (Ed.), *Perspectives on disabilities* (pp. 25-32). Health Markets Research.
- Bogart, K. R., Lund, E. M., & Rottenstein, A. (2018). Disability pride protects self-esteem through the rejection-identification model. *Rehabilitation Psychology, 63*(1), 155.
- Bond, G. R., Becker, D. R., Drake, R. E., ..., Bluer, C. R. (2001). Implementing supported employment as an evidence-based practice. *Psychiatric Services, 52*, 313-322. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.52.3.313
- Bonner, G., Lowe, T., Rawcliffe, D., & Wellman, N. (2002). Trauma for all: A pilot study of the subjective experience of physical restraint for mental health inpatients and staff in the UK. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 9*(4), 465-473. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2850.2002.00504.x
- Broverman, I. K., Broverman, D. M., Clarkson, F. E., Rosenkrantz, P. S., & Vogel, S. R. (1970). Sex-role stereotypes and clinical judgments of mental health. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 34*, 1-7.
- Brown, L. S. (1990). Taking account of gender in the clinical assessment interview. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 21*, 12-17. doi: 10.1037/07357028.21.1.12
- Brown, L. S. (2000). Discomforts of the powerless: Feminist constructions of distress. In R. Neimeyer & J. Raskin (Eds.), *Constructions of disorder: Meaning-making frameworks for psychotherapy*. (pp. 287- 308). American Psychological Association.
- Brown, L. S. (2006). Still subversive after all these years: The relevance of feminist Therapy in the age of evidence-based practice. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 30*, 15-24.
- Brown, L. (2009). Cultural competence: A new way of thinking about integration in therapy. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration, 19*(4), 340-353. doi: 10.1037/a0017967
- Brown, G. R., & Jones, K. T. (2014). Racial health disparities in a cohort of 5135 transgender veterans. *Journal of Racial Ethnic Health Disparities, 1*, 257-266.
- Brown, G. R., & Jones, K. T. (2016). Mental health and medical health disparities in 5135 transgender veterans receiving healthcare in the Veterans Health Administration: A case-control study. *LGBT Health, 3*(2), 122-131.
- Brown, L. A., Zandberg, L. J., & Foa, E. B. (2019). Mechanisms of change in prolonged exposure therapy for PTSD: Implications for clinical practice. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration, 29*(1), 6.
- Bryant-Davis, T., & Gobin, R. L. (2019). Still we rise: Psychotherapy for African American girls and women exiting sex trafficking. *Women & Therapy, 42*(3-4), 385-405. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02703149.2019.1622902>
- Bryer, J., B., Nelson, B.A., Miller, J.B., & Krol, P.A. (1987). Childhood sexual and physical abuse as factors in adult psychiatric illness. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 144*, 1426-1430.
- Buchanan, N. T., & Fitzgerald, L. F. (2008). Effects of racial and sexual harassment on work and the psychological well-being of African American women. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 13*(2), 137. doi: 10.1037/1076-8998.13.2.137
- Buckley, P., Buchanan, R., Schulz, S., & Tamminga, C. (1996). Catching up on schizophrenia: The Fifth International Congress on Schizophrenia Research. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 53*, 456-462. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1996.01830050092014
- Buckley, P. F., Robben, T., Friedman, L., & Hyde, J. (1999). Sexual behavior in persons with serious mental illness: Patterns and clinical correlates. Pp. 1-20 in *Sexuality and Serious Mental Illness*, Ed. by P. F. Buckley. Harwood Academic Publishers.
- Butzlaff, R.L., & Hooley, J.M. (1998). Expressed emotion and psychiatric relapse: A Meta-analysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 55*, 547-552. Doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.55.6.547

- Carey, M. P., Carey, K. B., Maisto, S. A., Gleason, J. R., Gordon, C. M., & Brewer, K. K. (1999). HIV-Risk behavior among outpatients at a state psychiatric hospital: Prevalence and risk modeling. *Behavior Therapy, 30*, 359–406. doi: 10.1016/S0005-7894(99)80017-3
- Carey, M. P., Carey, K.B., Maisto, S.A., Gordon, C.M., & Venable, P.A. (2001). Prevalence and correlates of sexual activity and HIV-Related risk behavior among psychiatric outpatients. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 69*, 846-850.
- Carmel, T. C., & Erickson-Schroth, L. (2016). Mental health and the transgender population. *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing & Mental Health Services, 54*, 44–48.
- Carmen, E. H., Rieker, P. P., & Mills, T. (1987). *Victims of violence and psychiatric illness*. American Psychiatric Press.
- Carmen, E. H., Russo, N. F., & Miller, J. B. (1981). Inequality and women's mental health: An overview. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 138*, 1319-1330. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4684-4754-5_3
- Carpenter-Song, E. A., Holcombe, B. D., Torrey, J., Hipolito, M. M. S., & Peterson, L. D. (2014). Recovery in a family context: Experiences of mothers with serious mental illnesses. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 37*(3), 162.
- Carr, E. R., Bhagwat, R., Miller, R., & Ponce, A. N. (2014). Training in mental health recovery and social justice in the public sector. *The Counseling Psychologist, 42*(8), 1108-1135. doi: 10.1177/0011000014555200
- Carr, E. R., Greene, B., & Ponce, B. (2015). Women and the experience of serious mental illness and sexual objectification: Multicultural/feminist theoretical frameworks and therapy recommendations. *Women & Therapy, 38*, 53-76. doi: 10.1080/02703149.2014.978216
- Carr, E.R., Hamlett, N., & Hillbrand, M. (2019). When sexual trauma survivors with severe psychiatric disabilities experience institutional care. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, 20*, 179-196.
- Carr, E. R., & Ponce, A. N. (2021). Supporting Mental Health Recovery, Citizenship, and Social Justice. *Community Mental Health Journal, 1-9*.
- Carroll, S. M. (2019). Respecting and empowering vulnerable populations: contemporary terminology. *The Journal for Nurse Practitioners, 15*(3), 228-231.
- Cascardi, M., Mueser, K. T., DeGiralomo, J., & Murrin, M. (1996). Physical aggression against psychiatric inpatients by family members and partners. *Psychiatric Services, 47*(5), 531-533. doi: 10.1176/ps.47.5.531
- Caton, C. L. M., Shrout, P. E., Dominguez, B., Eagle, P. F., Opler, L. A., & Cournos, F. (1995). Risk factors for homelessness among women with schizophrenia. *International Journal of Public Health, 85*, 1153-1156. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.85.8_Pt_1.1153
- Caton, C. L. M., Shrout, P. E., Eagle, P. F., Opler, L. A., Felix, A., Dominguez, B. (1994). Risk factors for homelessness among schizophrenic men: A case-control study. *American Journal of Public Health, 84*, 265–270. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.84.2.265
- Chandy, J. M., Blum, R. W., & Resnick, M. D. (1996). Female adolescents with a history of sexual abuse: Risk outcome and protective factors. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 11*(4), 503-518. doi: 10.1177/088626096011004004
- Chang, C. K., Hayes, R. D., Perera, G., Broadbent, M. T., Fernandes, A. C., Lee, W. E. Stewart, R. (2011). Life expectancy at birth for people with serious mental illness and other major disorders from a secondary mental health care case register in London. *PLOS One, 6*, e195900.
- Choe, J. Y., Teplin, L. A., & Abram, K. M. (2008). Perpetration of violence, violent victimization, and severe mental illness: Balancing public health concerns. *Psychiatric Services, 59*, 153-164.
- Chowkwanyun, M., & Reed Jr, A. L. (2020). Racial health disparities and COVID-19—Caution and context. *New England Journal of Medicine, 383*, 201-203.
- Chrisler, J. (2012). "Why can't you control yourself?" Fat should be a feminist issue. *Sex Roles, 66*, 608-616.
- Chrisler, J. C., Barney, A., & Palatino, B. (2016). Ageism can be hazardous to women's health: Ageism, sexism, and stereotypes of older women in the healthcare system. *Journal of Social Issues, 72*(1), 86-104.
- Clarke, L. H. & Bennett, E. (2013). "You learn to live with all the things that are wrong with you": gender and the experience of multiple chronic conditions in later life," *Aging and Society, 33*(2), 342–360.
- Collins, P. H. (2000). Gender, Black feminism, and Black political economy. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 568*, 41-53. doi: 10.1177/0002716200568001005
- Constantine, M. M., Hage, S., Kindaichi, M., Bryant, M. (2007). Social justice and multicultural issues: Implications for the practice and training of counselors and counseling psychologists. *Journal of Counseling & Development, 85*, 24-29. doi: 10.1002/j.1556-6678.2007.tb0040.
- Copeland, M. E. (2015). *What peer support really means*. Retrieved from: <http://mentalhealthrecovery.com/info-center/what-peer-support-really-means/>
- Correll, C. U., Galling, B., Pawar, A., Krivko, A., Bonetto, C., Ruggeri, M., ... & Kane, J. M. (2018). Comparison of early intervention services vs treatment as usual for early-phase psychosis: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. *JAMA psychiatry, 75*(6), 555-565.
- Corrigan, P. (2004). How stigma interferes with mental health care. *American Psychologist, 59*(7), 614. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.59.7.614
- Cottler, L. B., Nishith, P., & Compton, W. M. (2001). Gender differences in risk factors for trauma exposure and post-traumatic stress disorder among inner-city drugabusers in and out of treatment. *Comprehensive Psychiatry, 42*, 111-117.
- Crenshaw K. (1993) Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. In D. K. Weisberg (Ed.), *Feminist Legal Theory* (pp. 383–395). Temple University Press.
- Curie, C. (2005). SAMHSA's commitment to eliminating the use of seclusion and restraint. *Psychiatric Services, 59*, 1139-1141. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.56.9.1139
- Dansky, B.S., Brady, K.T., Saladin, M.E., ..., Roitzsch, J., (1996). Victimization and PTSD in individuals with substance use disorders: Gender and racial differences. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 22*, 75-93. doi: 10.3109/00952999609001646

- David, D. H., Styron, T., & Davidson, L. (2011). Supported parenting to meet the needs and concerns of mothers with severe mental illness. *American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation, 14*(2), 137-153.
- Davidson, L., Tondora, J., Lawless, M. S., O'Connell, M., & Rowe, M. (2009). *A practical guide to recovery-oriented practice*. Oxford University Press.
- D.S. Davydow, A.R. Ribe, H.S. Pedersen, ... (2016). Serious mental illness and risk for hospitalizations and rehospitalizations for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions in Denmark: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *Med Care, 4*, (1) 90-97.
- de Aquino Ferreira, L. F., Pereira, F. H. Q., Benevides, A. M. L. N., & Melo, M. C. A. (2018). Borderline personality disorder and sexual abuse: a systematic review. *Psychiatry Research, 262*, 70-77.
- de Bont, P. A., van Minnen, A., & de Jongh, A. (2013). Treating PTSD in patients with psychosis: a within-group controlled feasibility study examining the efficacy and safety of evidence-based PE and EMDR protocols. *Behavior Therapy, 44*(4), 717-730.
- De Hert, M., Mazereel, V., Detraux, J., & Van Assche, K. (2020). Prioritizing COVID-19 vaccination for people with severe mental illness. *World Psychiatry*. Published online November 1, 2020. doi:10.1002/wps.20826
- Deegan, P. (1993). Recovering your sense of value after being labeled mentally ill. *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services, 31*(4), 7-11. doi: 10.3928/0279-3695-19930401-06
- Deegan, P. E. (2001). Human sexuality and mental illness: Consumer viewpoints and recovery principles. In *Sexuality and Serious Mental Illness*, Ed. by Peter F. Buckley, pp. 21-34. Harwood Academic Publishers.
- Dickerson, F. B., Brown, C. H., Kreyenbuhl, J., Goldberg, R. W., Fang, L. J., & Dixon, L. B. (2004). Sexual and reproductive behaviors among persons with mental illness. *Psychiatric Services, 55*, 1299-1301. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.55.11.1299
- Dipple, H., Smith, S., Andrews, H., & Evans, B. (2002) The experience of motherhood in women with severe and enduring mental illness. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 37*, 336-340. doi: 10.1007/s00127-002-0559-2
- Dixon, L. B., Dickerson, F., Bellack, A. S., ... & Peer, J. (2010). The 2009 schizophrenia PORT psychosocial treatment recommendations and summary statements. *Schizophrenia Bulletin, 36*(1), 48-70. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbp115
- Donnelly, T. T., Hwang, J. J., Este, D., Ewashen, C., Adair, C., & Clinton, M. (2011). If I was going to kill myself, I wouldn't be calling you. I am asking for help: Challenges influencing immigrant and refugee women's mental health. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing, 32*(5) 279-290.
- Downey, G., and Coyne, J. C. (1990). Children of Depressed Parents—an Integrative Review. *Psychological Bulletin, 108*(1), 50-76. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.108.1.50
- Draine, J., Salzer, M. S., Culhane, D. P., & Hadley, T. R. (2002). Role of social disadvantage in crime, joblessness, and homelessness among persons with serious mental illness. *Psychiatric Services, 53*, 565-573. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.53.5.565
- Drake, R. E., Goldman, H. H., Leff, H. S., Lehman, A. F., Dixon, L., Mueser, K. T., & Torrey, W. C. (2001). Implementing evidence-based practices in routine mental health settings. *Psychiatric Services, 52*, 179-182. Doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.52.2.179
- Drake, R. E., Mueser, K. T., Brunette, M. F., & McHugo, G. (2004). A review of treatments for people with severe mental illnesses and co-occurring substanceuse disorders. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 27*, 360-374. doi: 10.2975/27.2004.360.374
- Drake, R. E., & Wallach, M. A. (2000). Dual diagnosis: 15 years of progress. *Psychiatric Services, 51*, 1126-1129. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.51.9.1126
- Druss, B. G., Chwastiak, L., Kern, J., Parks, J. J., Ward, M. C., & Raney, L. E. (2018). Psychiatry's role in improving the physical health of patients with serious mental illness: A report from the American Psychiatric Association. *Psychiatric Services, 69*(3), 254-256.
- Duckworth, M. P., & Follette, V. M. (Eds.). (2012). *Retraumatization: Assessment, treatment, and prevention*. Routledge. doi: 10.4324/9780203866320
- Ekman, I., Swedberg, K., Taft, C., ... & Lidén, E. (2011). Person-centered care—Ready for prime time. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 10*(4), 248-251. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcnurse.2011.06.008
- Erchull, M. (2015). The thin ideal: A “wrong prescription” sold to many and achievable by few. In *The wrong prescription for women: How medicine and media create a “need” for treatments, drugs, and surgery*. Edited by M.C. McHugh & J. Chrisler.
- Eriksen, K., & Kress, V. E. (2008). Gender and diagnosis: Struggles and suggestions for counselors. *Journal of Counseling and Development, 86*, 152-162. doi: 10.1002/j.1556-6678.2008.tb00492.x
- Fallot, R. D., & Harris, M. (2008). Trauma-informed approaches to systems of care. *Trauma Psychology Newsletter, 3*(1), 6-7.
- Fallot, R. D., & Harris, M. (2009). *Creating cultures of Trauma-Informed Care (CCTIC): A self-assessment and planning protocol*. Community Connections.
- Farkas, M. A., Jansen, M. A., & Penk, W. (2007). Psychosocial rehabilitation: The approach of choice for those with serious mental illnesses. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development, 44*, 2-21. Doi: 10.1682/jrrd.2007.09.0143
- Fillingim, R. B., King, C. D., Ribeiro-Dasilva, M. C., Rahim-Williams, B., Riley, & J. L. (2009). Sex, gender, and pain: a review of recent clinical and experimental findings. *Journal of Pain, 10*(5), 447-485.
- Fischer, P. J., & Breakey, W. R. (1991). The epidemiology of alcohol, drug, and mental disorders among homeless persons. *American Psychologist, 46*, 1115-1128. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.46.11.1115
- Folsom, D., & Jeste, D.V. (2002) Schizophrenia in homeless persons: A systematic review of the literature. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 104*, 1-10. doi: 10.1034/j.16000447.2002.02209.x
- Frayne, J., Nguyen, T., Allen, S., Hauck, Y., Liira, H., & Vickery, A. (2019). Obstetric outcomes for women with severe mental illness: 10 years of experience in a tertiary multidisciplinary antenatal clinic. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 300*(4), 889-896.

- Frieh, E. C. (2020). Stigma, trauma, and sexuality: the experiences of women hospitalized with serious mental illness. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 42(3), 526-543.
- Fries, L., Fedock, G., & Kubiak, S. P. (2014). Role of gender, substance use, and serious mental illness in anticipated post jail homelessness. *Social Work Research*, 38(2), 107-116.
- Frueh, B. C., Knapp, R. G., Cusack, K. J., ...Hiers, T. G. (2005). Patients' reports of traumatic or harmful experiences within the psychiatric setting. *Psychiatric Services*, 56, 1123-1133. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.56.9.1123
- Frueh, B. C., Mirabella, R. F., & Turner, S. M. (1995). Exposure therapy for combat-related PTSD: Some practical considerations regarding patient exclusion. *Behavior Therapist*, 18, 190-191.
- Furnham, A., & Bower, P. (1992). A comparison of academic and lay theories of schizophrenia. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 161(2), 201-210. doi: 10.1192/bjp.161.2.201
- Gagne, C. A., Finch, W. L., Myrick, K. J., & Davis, L. M. (2018). Peer workers in the behavioral and integrated health workforce: opportunities and future directions. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 54(6), S258-S266.
- Gearon, J. S., & Bellack, A. S. (1999). Women with schizophrenia and co-occurring substance use disorders: An increased risk for violent victimization and HIV. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 35, 401-419. doi: 10.1023/A:1018778310859
- Gearon, J. S., & Bellack, A. S. (2000). Sex differences in illness presentation, course, and level of functioning in substance-abusing schizophrenia patients. *Schizophrenia Research*, 43, 65-70. doi: 10.1016/S0920-9964(99)00175-9
- Gearon, J. S., Kaltman, S. I., Brown, C., & Bellack, A. S. (2003). Traumatic life events and PTSD among women with substance use disorders and schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services*, 54, 523-528. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.54.4.523
- Gelberg, L., Gallagher, T.C., Andersen, R.M., & Koegel, P. (1997). Competing priorities as a barrier to medical care among homeless adults in Los Angeles. *American Journal of Public Health*, 87, 217-220. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.87.2.217
- Geller, J. L., & Abi Zeid Daou, M. (2020). Patients with SMI in the age of COVID-19: what psychiatrists need to know. *Psychiatric News*, 10.
- Ghodsian, M., Zajicek, E., & Wolkind, S. (1984). A longitudinal study of maternal depression and child behavior problems. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 25, 91-109. doi: 10.1111/j.14697610.1984.tb01721.x
- Gibb, S. J., Fergusson, D. M., & Horwood, L. J. (2010). Burden of psychiatric disorder in young adulthood and life outcomes at age 30. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 197, 122-127
- Glover, R. (2005). Reducing the use of seclusion and restraint: A NASMHPD Priority. *Psychiatric Services*, 56, 1141-1142. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.56.9.1141
- Goodheart, C. D., Kazdin, A. E., & Sternberg, R. J. (2006). *Evidence-based psychotherapy: Where practice and research meet*. American Psychological Association. doi: 10.1037/11423-000
- Goodman, L. A., Dutton, M. A., & Harris, M. (1997). The relationship between violence dimensions and symptom severity among homeless, mentally ill women. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 10, 51-70. doi: 10.1002/jts.2490100106
- Goodman, L. A., Johnson, M., Dutton, M.A., & Harris, M. (1997). Prevalence and impact of sexual and physical abuse in women with severe mental illness. In *Sexual abuse trauma among women with severe mental illness*, Ed. by M. Harris. (pp. 277-299). Gordon and Beach Publishers.
- Goodman, L. A., Rosenberg, S. D., Mueser, K. T., & Drake, R. E. (1997). Physical and sexual assault history in women with serious mental illness: Prevalence, correlates, treatment, and future research directions. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 23, 685-696. doi: 10.1093/schbul/23.4.685
- Goodman, L. A., Salyers, M. P., Mueser, K. T., Rosenberg, S. D., Swartz, M., Essock, S. M., Osher, F. C., Butterfield, M. I., & Swanson, J. (2001). Recent victimization in women and men with severe mental illness: Prevalence and correlates. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 14, 615-632.
- Gimm, G., Chowdhury, S., & Castle, N. (2018). Resident aggression and abuse in assisted living. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 37(8), 947-964.
- Griner, D., & Smith, T. B. (2006). Culturally adapted mental health intervention: A meta-analytic review. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 43, 531-548. doi:10.1037/0033-3204.43.4.531
- Grubaugh, A. L., Zinzow, H. M., Paul, L., Egede, L. E., & Frueh, B. C. (2011). Trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder in adults with severe mental illness: A critical review. *Clinical psychology review*, 31(6), 883-899.
- Hamlett, N. M., Carr, E. R., & Hillbrand, M. (2016). Positive behavioral support planning in the inpatient treatment of severe disruptive behaviors: A description of service features. *Psychological Services*, 13(2), 178. doi: 10.1037/ser0000070
- Harned, M. S. (2013). Treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid borderline personality disorder. In *Handbook of treating variants and complications in anxiety disorders*. Ed. by E.A. Storch and D. McKay. Springer Science + Business Media. Doi: 10.1007/978_1_4614_6458_7_14.
- Harned, M. S., Korslund, K. E., & Linehan, M. M. (2014). A pilot randomized controlled trial of Dialectical Behavior Therapy with and without the Dialectical Behavior Therapy Prolonged Exposure protocol for suicidal and self-injuring women with borderline personality disorder and PTSD. *Behavior Research and Therapy*, 55, 7-17. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2014.01.008
- Harper, A., Kriegel, L., Morris, C., Hamer, H. P., & Gambino, M. (2017). Finding citizenship: What works? *American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation*, 20(3), 200-217.
- Harris, M. (1996). Treating sexual abuse trauma with dually diagnosed homeless women. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 32, 371-385. doi: 10.1007/BF02249455
- Harris, M. (1997). Trauma recovery skills development and enhancement. Unpublished manuscript. *Community Connections*.
- Harris, E. C., & Barraclough, B. (1997). Suicide as an outcome for mental disorders. A meta-analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 170(3), 205-228. doi: 10.1192/bjp.170.3.205
- Harris, M. & Fallot, R. (1996). Domains of trauma recovery skills development and enhancement. Unpublished manuscript. *Community Connections*.
- Harvey, C. (2018). Family psychoeducation for people living with schizophrenia and their families. *British Journal of Psychiatric Advances*, 24, 9-19.

- Haywood, T. W., Kravitz, H. M., Grossman, L. S., Cavanaugh, J. L., Davis, J. M., & Lewis, D. A. (1995). Predicting the "revolving door" phenomenon among patients with schizophrenic, schizoaffective, and affective disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 152*, 856-861.
- Healey, C., Morriss, R., Henshaw, C., Wadoo, O., Sajjad, A., Scholefield, H., & Kinderman, P. (2013). Self-harm in postpartum depression and referrals to a perinatal mental health team: an audit study. *Archives of Women's Mental Health, 16*, 237-245.
- Heise, L., Greene, M. E., Opper, N., Stavropoulou, M., Harper, C., Nascimento, M., ... & Gupta, G. R. (2019). Gender inequality and restrictive gender norms: framing the challenges to health. *The Lancet, 393*(10189), 2440-2454.
- Herman, J. (1997). *Trauma and recovery*. Perseus Books Group.
- Hibbs, J. R., Benner, L., Klugman, L., Spencer, R., Macchia, I., Mellinger, A. K., Fife, D. (1994). Mortality in a cohort of homeless adults in Philadelphia. *New England Journal of Medicine, 331*, 304-309. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199408043310506
- Hoffmann, D. E., & Tarzian, A. J. (2001). The girl who cried pain: a bias against women in the treatment of pain," *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics, 28*(4), 13-27.
- Hollingsworth, L. D. (2004). Child custody loss among women with persistent severe mental illness. *Social Work Research, 28*(4), 199-209. doi: 10.1093/swr/28.4.199
- Hudson, C. G. (2005). Socioeconomic status and mental illness: Tests of the social causation and selection hypotheses. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 75*(1), 3-18. doi: 10.1037/0002-9432.75.1.3
- International Peer Support (2016). *What is intentional peer support?* <http://www.intentionalpeersupport.org/what-is-ips/>
- International Association of Peer Supporters (INAPS) (2016). National practice guidelines. www.inaops.org
- Jacobsen, T., Miller, L. J., & Kirkwood, K. P. (1997). Assessing parenting competency in individuals with severe mental illness: A comprehensive service. *Journal of Mental Health Administration, 24*, 189-199. doi: 10.1007/BF02898513
- Jefferson, K., Neilands, T. B., & Sevelius, J. (2013). Transgender women of color: Discrimination and depression symptoms. *Ethnicity and Inequalities in Health and Social Care, 6*(4), 121-136. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EIHSC-08-2013-0013>
- Jennings, A. (2009). *Retraumatization*. www.theannainstitute.org
- Jonikas, J. A., Laris, A., & Cook, J. A. (2003). The passage to adulthood: Psychiatric rehabilitation service and transition-related needs of young adult women with emotional and psychiatric disorders. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 27*(2), 114-121. doi: 10.2975/27.2003.114.121
- Jorgensen, M., Mainz, J., Lange, P., & Paaske Johnsen, S. (2018). Quality of care and clinical outcomes of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in patients with schizophrenia. A Danish nationwide study. *Int J Qual Health Care, 30*, 351-357.
- Joseph, J. G., Joshi, S. V., Lewin, A. B., & Abrams, M. (1999). Characteristics and perceived needs of mothers with serious mental illness. *Psychiatric Services 50*(10), 1357-1359. doi: 10.1176/ps.50.10.1357
- Keenan, K., & Shaw, D. (1997). Developmental and social influences on young girls' early problem behavior. *Psychological Bulletin, 121*, 95-113. doi: 10.1037/00332909.121.1.95
- Kessler, R. C., Barker, P. R., Colpe, L. J., ... & Zaslavsky, A. M. (2003). Screening for serious mental illness in the general population. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 60*(2), 184-189. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.60.2.184
- Kessler, R.C., Foster, C.L., Saunders, W.B., & Stang, P.E. (1995). Social consequences of psychiatric disorders: Educational attainment. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 152*, 1026-1032. doi: 10.1176/ajp.152.7.1026
- Kessler, R. C., Heeringa, S., Lakoma, M. D., & Zaslavsky, A. M. (2008). Individual and societal effects of mental disorders on earnings in the United States: results from the national comorbidity survey replication. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 165*, 703-711. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08010126
- Khazanchi, R., Beiter, E.R., Gondi, S., Beckman, A.L., Bilinski, A., & Ganguli, I. (2020). County-level association of social vulnerability with COVID-19 cases and deaths in the USA. *J Gen Intern Med*. Published online. doi:10.1007/s11606-020-05882-3
- Klein, V., Briken, P., Schröder, J., & Fuss, J. (2019). Mental health professionals' pathologization of compulsive sexual behavior: Does clients' gender and sexual orientation matter? *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 128*(5), 465-472. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000437>
- Kohut, J., Li, C., & Wicherski, M. (2007). *Snapshot of practicing clinical psychologists: Extract from the 2007 salaries in psychology survey*. American Psychological Association, Center for Workforce Studies.
- Koschorke, M., Thornicroft, G., Thara, R., Balaji, M., & Patel, V. (2017). Experiences of stigma and discrimination faced by family caregivers of people with schizophrenia. *India Social Science and Medicine, 178*, 66-77. Doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.01.061
- Kopelovich, S. L., Monroe-DeVita, M., Buck, B. E., Brenner, C., Moser, L., Jarskog, L. F., ... & Chwastiak, L. A. (2021). Community mental health care delivery during the COVID-19 pandemic: practical strategies for improving care for people with serious mental illness. *Community Mental Health Journal, 57*(3), 405-415.
- Kuehner, C. (2017). Why is depression more common among women than among men? *The Lancet Psychiatry, 4*(2), 146-158.
- Kunneman, M., Gionfriddo, M. R., Toloza, F., Gärtner, F. R., Spencer-Bonilla, G., Hargraves, I. G., Erwin, P. J., & Montori, V. M. (2019). Humanistic communication in the evaluation of shared decision making: A systematic review. *Patient Education and Counseling, 102*(3), 452-466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2018.11.003>
- Lam, J. A., & Rosenheck, R. (1998). The effect of victimization on clinical outcomes of homeless persons with serious mental illness. *Psychiatric Services, 49*, 678-683. doi: 10.1176/ps.49.5.678.
- Laumman, E. O., Gagnon, J. H., Michael, R. T., and Michaels, S. (1994). *The social organization of sexuality: Sexual practices in the United States*. University of Chicago Press.
- Laster Pirtle, W.N (2020). Racial capitalism: a fundamental cause of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic inequities in the United States. *Health Educ Behav. 47*(4):504-508. doi:10.1177/1090198120922942

- Lehman, A. F., Kernan, E., DeForge, B. R., Dixon, L. (1995). Effects of homelessness on the quality of life of persons with severe mental illness. *Psychiatric Services, 46*, 922-926. doi: 10.1176/ps.46.9.922
- Levine, J. A. (2017). Mental health issues in survivors of sex trafficking. *Cogent Medicine, 4*(1), 1278841.
- Lewis, M. A., Giovannoni, J. M., & Leake, B. (1997). Two-year placement outcomes of children removed at birth from drug-using and non-drug-using mothers in Los Angeles. *Social Work Research, 21*, 81-90. doi: 10.1093/swr/21.2.81
- Lewis, J. A., Mendenhall, R., Harwood, S. A., & Browne Hunt, M. (2016). "Ain't I a woman?" Perceived gendered racial microaggressions experienced by Black women. *The Counseling Psychologist, 44*(5), 758-780.
- Lewis, M. A., Williams, M. G., Peppers, E. J., & Gadson, C. A. (2017). Applying intersectionality to explore the relations between gendered racism and health among Black women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 64*, 475-486. doi: 10.1037/cou0000231
- Lex, B.W. (1995). Alcohol and other psychoactive substance dependence on women and men. In *Gender and Psychopathology*. Ed. MV Seeman, pp. 311-358. American Psychiatric Press.
- Li L, L., Fortunati, F, Krystal, J.H.N. (2020). Association of a prior psychiatric diagnosis with mortality among hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection. *JAMA Network Open. 2020*;3(9):e2023282. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.23282
- Lieb, K., Zanarini, M. C., Schmah, C., Linehan, M. M., & Bohus, M. (2004). Borderline personality disorder. *The Lancet, 364*(9432), 453-461. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16770-6
- Linehan, M. (1997). Behavioral treatments of suicidal behaviors, definitional obfuscation and treatment outcomes. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 836*, 302-328. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1997.tb52367.x
- Linehan, M. (2010). *DBT skills training manual*. New York.
- Link, B.G., (1987). Understanding labeling effects in the area of mental disorders: An assessment of the effects of expectations of rejection. *American Sociological Review, 52*, 96-112. doi: 10.2307/2095395
- Link, B. G., Yang, L.H., Phelan, J. C., & Collins, P. Y. (2004). Measuring mental illness stigma. *Schizophrenia Bulletin, 30*, 511-41. Doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a007098
- Lipschitz, D. S. (1996). Prevalence and characteristics of physical and sexual abuse among psychiatric outpatients. *Psychiatric Services, 47*, 189-191. doi: 10.1176/ps.47.2.189
- Liu, N., Zhang, F., Wei, C., et al. (2020). Prevalence and Predictors of PTSS During COVID-19 Outbreak in China Hardest-hit Areas: Gender Differences Matter. *Psychiatry Res. March 16, 2020*.
- Logie, C. H., James L., Tharao, W., & Loutfy, M. R. (2011). HIV, gender, race, sexualorientation, and sex work: A qualitative study of intersectional stigmaexperienced by HIV-positive women in Ontario, Canada. *PLoS Med 8*(11), 1-12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001124
- Lynch, S. M., DeHart, D. D., Belknap, J., & Green, B. L. (2012). *Women's pathways to jail: The roles and intersections of serious mental illness and trauma*. Bureau of Justice Assistance.
- Lysaker, P. H., Hamm, J. A., Hasson-Ohayon, I., Pattison, M. L., & Leonhardt, B. L. (2018). Promoting recovery from severe mental illness: implications from research on metacognition and metacognitive reflection and insight therapy. *World Journal of Psychiatry, 8*(1), 1.
- Mahlke, C. I., Priebe, S., Heumann, K., Daubmann, A., Wegscheider, K., & Bock, T. (2017). Effectiveness of one-to-one peer support for patients with severe mental illness—a randomized controlled trial. *European Psychiatry, 42*, 103-110.
- Martínez, D. I. (2020). Intersectional revisionist history: The rhetoric of Ecuadorian communist feminist Nela Martínez Espinosa 1. In *The Rhetoric of Social Movements* (pp. 116-132). Routledge. https://www.bja.gov/Publications/Women_Pathways_to_Jail.pdf
- Mauri, M., Oppo, A., Borri, C. & Banti, C. (2012). Suicidality in the perinatal period: Comparison of two self-report instruments. Results from PND-ReScU *Archives of Women's Mental Health, 15*, 39-47.
- Mauritz, M. W., van Gaal, B. G., Goossens, P. J., Jongedijk, R. A., & Vermeulen, H. (2021). Treating patients with severe mental illness with narrative exposure therapy for comorbid post-traumatic stress disorder. *BJPsych open, 7*(1).
- McDaniels, B., & Fleming, A. (2016). Sexuality education and intellectual disability: Time to address the challenge. *Sexuality and Disability, 34*(2), 215-225.
- McDonagh, M. S., Dana, T., Selph, S., Devine, E. B., Cantor, A., Bougatsos, C., Blazina, I., Grusing, S., Fu, R., Kopelovich, S. L., Monroe-DeVita, M., & Haupt, D. W. (2017). Treatments for schizophrenia in adults: A systematic review. *Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 198*. (Prepared by the Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290- 2015-00009-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 17(18)-EHC031-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/reports/final.cfm. doi: <https://doi.org/10.23970/AHRQEPCCER198>
- McGlashan, T. H., Grilo, C. M., Skodol, A. E., & ... Stout, R.L. (2000). The Collaborative Longitudinal Personality Disorders Study: Baseline Axis I/II and II/II diagnostic co-occurrence. *Acta Pyschiatrica Scandinavica, 102*, 256-264. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0447.2000.102004256.x
- McGinty, E. E., Goldman, H. H., Pescosolido, B. A., & Barry, C. L. (2018). Communicating about mental illness and violence: balancing stigma and increased support for services. *Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law, 43*(2), 185-228.
- Mental Health America (2015). *Policy Statement 24: Seclusion and Restraints*. <http://www.mentalhealthamerica.net/positions/seclusion-restraints>
- Merikangas, K. R., Ames, M., Cui, L., ... & Kessler R. C. (2007). The impact of comorbidity of mental and physical conditions on role disability in the US adult household population. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 64*, 1180-1188. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.64.10.1180
- Meyer, R., Babor, T., & Hesselbrock. (1988). An alcohol research center in concept andpractice: Interdisciplinary collaboration at the UConn ARC. *British Journal of Addiction, 83*, 245-252. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.1988.tb00464.x

- Mihelicova, M., Brown, M., & Shuman, V. (2018). Trauma informed care for individuals with serious mental illness: An avenue for community psychology's involvement in community mental health. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 61*(1-2) (2018): 141-152.
- Mitchell, A.J., & Lawrence, D. (2011). Revascularisation and mortality rates following acute coronary syndromes in people with severe mental illness: Comparative meta-analysis. *British Journal Psychiatry, 198* (6) 434-441.
- Mizock, L., & Brubaker, M. (2021). Treatment experiences with gender and discrimination among women with serious mental illness. *Psychological Services, 18*(1), 64-72. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000346>
- Mizock, L., & Carr, E. R. (2021). *Women with serious mental illness: Gender-sensitive and recovery-oriented care*. Oxford University Press.
- Mizock, L., & Kaschak, E. (2015). Introduction to the special issue: Women with serious mental illness in therapy: Intersectional perspectives. *Women & Therapy, 38*(1-2), 6-13. doi: 10.1080/02703149.2014.978209
- Mizock, L., Salmonsens, J., & Smith, S. (2021). Family challenges experienced by women with serious mental illness. *Family Relations, 1-13*, doi: 10.1111/fare.12566
- Montgomery, P., Tompkins, C., Forchuk, C., & French, S. (2006). Keeping close: Mothering with serious mental illness. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 54*(1), 20-28. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2006.03785.x
- Moradi, H., Harvey, P. D., & Helldin, L. (2018). Correlates of risk factors for reduced life expectancy in schizophrenia: Is it possible to develop a predictor profile? *Schizophrenia Research, 201*, 388-392.
- Moradi, B., & Huang, Y. P. (2008). Objectification theory and psychology of women: A decade of advances and future directions. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 32*(4), 377-398. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.2008.00452.x
- Morgan, V. A., Waterreus, A., & Carr, V. (2017). Responding to challenges for people with psychotic illness: Updated evidence from the Survey of High Impact Psychosis. *Australian New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 51*, 124-40.
- Mowbray, C. T., Nicholson, J., & Bellamy, C. D. (2003). Psychosocial rehabilitation service needs of women. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 27*(2), 104-113. doi: 10.2975/27.2003.104.113
- Mowbray, C. T., Oyserman, D., & Bybee, D. (2000). Mothers with serious mental illness. *New Directions for Mental Health Services, 88*, 73-91. doi: 10.1002/yd.23320008809
- Mowbray, C., Oyserman, D., Bybee, D., & McFarlane, P. (2002). Parenting of mothers with a serious mental illness: Differential effects of diagnosis, clinical history, and other mental health variables. *Social Work Research, 26*, 225-240. doi: 10.1093/swr/26.4.225
- Mowbray, C. T., Oyserman, D., Bybee, D., MacFarlane, P., & Rueda-Riedle, A. (2001). Life circumstances of mothers with serious mental illnesses. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 25*(2), 114. doi: 10.1037/h0095034
- Mueser, K. T., & Glynn, S. M. (1995). *Behavioral family therapy for psychiatric disorders*. Allyn & Bacon.
- Mueser, K., Goodman, L. A., Trumbetta, S. L., ... Foy, D. W. (1998). Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in severe mental illness. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 66*, 493-499. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.66.3.493
- Mueser, K. T., Noordsy, D. L., Drake, R. E., & Fox, L. (2003). *Integrated treatment for Dual disorders*. Guilford Press.
- Mueser, K. T., & Rosenberg, S. D. (2003). Treating the trauma of first episode psychosis: A PTSD perspective. *Journal of Mental Health, 12*(2), 103-108. doi: 10.1080/096382300210000583371
- Mueser, K.T., Rosenberg, S.D., Goodman, L.A., & Trumbetta, S.L. (2002). Trauma, PTSD, and the course of severe mental illness: an interactive model. *Schizophrenia Research, 53*, 123-143. doi: 10.1016/S0920-9964(01)00173-6
- Mueser, K. T., Silverstein, S. M., & Farkas, M. D. (2013). Should the training of clinical psychologists require competence in the treatment and rehabilitation of individuals with a serious mental illness? *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 36*(1), 54. doi: 10.1037/h0094750
- Mueser, K. T., Yarnold, P. R., Rosenberg, S. D., Swett, C., Miles, K. M., & Hill, D. (2000). Substance use disorder in hospitalized severely mentally ill psychiatric patients: Prevalence, correlates, and subgroups. *Schizophrenia Bulletin, 26*, 179-192. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033438
- Murray-Swanck, A., Glynn, S., Cohen, A. N., Sherman, M., Medoff, D. P., Fang, L. J., Drapalski, A., & Dixon, L. B. (2007). Family contact, experience of family relationships, and views about family involvement in treatment among VA consumers with serious mental illness. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development, 44*, 801-812. doi: 10.1682/JRRD.2006.08.0092
- Naslund, J. A., Aschbrenner, K. A., & Bartels, S. J. (2016). How people with serious mental illness use smartphones, mobile apps, and social media. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation, 39*, 364-367. doi: 10.1037/prj0000207
- National Alliance for the Mentally Ill (NAMI) (2001). *Seclusion and Restraint - where we stand*. <http://bipolar.nami.org/update/unitedrestraint.html>
- National Alliance for the Mentally Ill (NAMI) (2021). NAMI-Peer-to-Peer. <https://www.nami.org/Support-Education/Mental-Health-Education/NAMI-Peer-to-Peer>
- National Institute of Mental Health. (2019). Mental illness. <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/mental-illness.shtml>. Nemoto, T., Bodeker, B., & Iwamoto, M. (2011). Social support, exposure to violence and transphobia, and correlates of depression among male-to-female transgender women with a history of sex work. *American Journal of Public Health, 101*(10), 1980-1988. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2010.197285>
- Nicholson, J., & Biebel, K. (2002). Commentary on "Community mental health care for women with severe mental illness who are parents"—The tragedy of missed opportunities: What providers can do. *Community Mental Health Journal, 38*(2), 167-172. doi: 10.1023/A:1014551306288
- Nicholson, J., Biebel, K., Hinden, B., Henry, A., & Stier, L. (2001). *Critical issues for parents with mental illness and their families*. Worcester: University of Massachusetts Medical School, Center for Mental Health Services Research, Department of Psychiatry. doi: 10.1037/e303792004-001

- Nicholson, J., Albert, K., Gershenson, B., Williams, V., Biebel, K. (2009). Family options for parents with mental illnesses: A developmental, mixed methods pilot study. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 33(2), 106-114.
- Nicholson, J., Sweeney, E. M., & Geller, J. L. (1998). Mothers with mental illness: The competing demands of parenting and living with mental illness. *Psychiatric Services*, 49, 635-642. doi: 10.1176/ps.49.5.635
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2001). Gender differences in depression. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 10(5), 173-176. doi: 10.1111/1467-8721.00142
- North, C. S., Smith, E. M. (1992). A systematic study of mental health services utilization by homeless men and women. *Society Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 28, 77-83. doi: 10.1007/BF00802096
- Nuechterlein, K. H., Subotnik, K. L., Turner, L. R., Ventura, J., Becker, D. R., & Drake, R. E. (2008). Individual placement and support for individuals with recent-onset schizophrenia: Integrating supported education and supported employment. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 31, 340-349.
- Oates, M. (1988). The development of an integrated community-oriented service for severe postnatal mental illness. *Motherhood and Mental Illness*, 2, 133-158.
- O'Donnell, S., Meyer, I. H., & Schwartz, S. (2011). Increased risk of suicide attempts among Black and Latino lesbians, gay men, and bisexuals. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101, 1055-1059. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2010.300032
- Olivet, J., Dones, M., & Richard, M. (2019). The intersection of homelessness, racism, and mental illness. In *Racism and psychiatry* (pp. 55-69). Humana Press.
- Olson, S., & Banyard, V. (1993). "Stop the world so I can get off for a while": Sources of daily stress in the lives of low-income single mothers of young children. *Family Relations*, 42, 50-56. doi: 10.2307/584921
- Oram, S., Stöckl, H., Busza, J., Howard, L. M., & Zimmerman, C. (2012). Prevalence and risk of violence and the physical, mental, and sexual health problems associated with human trafficking: Systematic review. *PLoS Medicine*, 9, e1001224. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001224
- Orsolini, L., Sceusa, F., Pompili, S., Mauro, A., Salvi, V., & Volpe, U. (2021). Severe and persistent mental illness (SPMI) in pregnancy and breastfeeding: focus on second-generation long acting injectable antipsychotics. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety, online first*.
- Oyserman, D., Benbishty, R., & Ben Rabi, D. (1992). Characteristics of children and their families at entry into foster care. *Psychiatry and Human Development*, 22, 199-211. doi: 10.1007/BF00705892
- Oyserman, B., Bybee, D., Mowbray, C., & McFarlane, P. (2002). Positive parenting among African American mothers with a serious mental illness. *Journal of Marriage and Family Therapy*, 64, 65-77. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-3737.2002.00065.x" https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2002.00065.x
- Padgett, D. K., Stanhope, V., Henwood, B. F., & Stefancic, A. (2011). Substance use outcomes among homeless clients with serious mental illness: Comparing Housing First with treatment first programs. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 47(2), 227-232. doi: 10.1007/s10597-009-9283-7
- Padgett, D., Struening, E. L., Andrews, H. (1990). Factors affecting the use of medical, mental health, alcohol, and drug treatment services by homeless adults. *MedicalCare*, 28, 805-821. doi: 10.1097/00005650-199009000-00010
- Paksarian, D., Mojtabai, R., Kotov, R., Cullen, B., Nugent, K. L., & Bromet, E. J. (2014). Perceived trauma during hospitalization and treatment participation among individuals with psychotic disorders. *Psychiatric Services*, 65(2), 266-269.
- Paris, J., & Zweig-Frank, H. (2001). A twenty-seven year follow-up of borderline patients. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 42, 482-487. doi: 10.1053/comp.2001.26271
- Penn, D. L., & Mueser, K. T. (1996). Research update on psychosocial treatment of schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 153, 607-617. doi: 10.1176/ajp.153.5.607
- Perese, E. F. (2007). Stigma, poverty, and victimization: Roadblocks to recovery for individuals with severe mental illness. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*, 13(5), 285-295. doi: 10.1177/1078390307307830
- Pernice-Duca, F., Biegel, D.E., Hess, H.R., Chung, C., & Chang, C. (2015). Family members' perceptions of how they benefit when relatives living with serious mental illness participate in clubhouse community programs. *Family Relations*, 64, 446-459. Doi: 10.1111/fare.12127
- Petros, R., & Solomon, P. (2021). How Adults with Serious Mental Illness Learn and Use Wellness Recovery Action Plan's Recovery Framework. *Qualitative Health Research*, 31(4), 631-642.
- Pickett, K. E., James, O. W., & Wilkinson, R. G. (2006). Income inequality and the prevalence of mental illness: A preliminary international analysis. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 60(7), 646-647. doi: 10.1136/jech.2006.046631
- Racine, M., Dion, D., & Dupuis, G. (2014). The Canadian STOP-PAIN project: the burden of chronic pain—does sex really matter?" *Clinical Journal of Pain*, 30(5), 443-452.
- Rape, Abuse, and Incest National Network Statistics (2021). *Statistics*. Retrieved from <https://www.rainn.org/about-rainn>
- Razzaque, R. (2013). An acceptance and commitment therapy-based protocol for the management of acute self-harm and violence in severe mental illness. *Journal of Psychiatric Intensive Care*, 9(2), 72-76.
- Reddy, F., Spaulding, W. D., Jansen, M. A., Menditto, A. A., & Pickett, S. (2010). Psychologists' roles and opportunities in rehabilitation and recovery for serious mental illness: A survey of Council of University Directors of Clinical Psychology (CUDCP) clinical psychology training and doctoral education. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 4(4), 254. doi: 10.1037/a0021457
- Regier, D. A., Farmer, M. E., Rae, D. S., ... & Goodwin, K. (1990). Comorbidity of mental disorders with alcohol and other drug abuse: Results from the Epidemiologic Catchment Area (ECA) study. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 264, 2511-2518. doi: 10.1001/jama.1990.03450190043026
- Reich, J., Nduaguba, M., & Yates, W. (1988). Age and sex distribution of DSM-III personality cluster traits in a community population. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 29, 298-303. doi: 10.1016/0010-440X(88)90052-1

- Ridley, C. R., Hill, C. L., Thompson, C. E., & Ormerod, A. J. (2001). Clinical practice guidelines in assessment: Toward an idiographic perspective. In D. Pope-Davis & H. Coleman (Eds.), *The intersection of race, class, and gender in multicultural counseling* (pp. 191–212). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Riecher-Rössler, A., Butler, S., & Kulkarni, J. (2018). Sex and gender differences in schizophrenic psychoses—a critical review. *Archives of women's mental health, 21*(6), 627–648.
- Rogers, E. S. (2017). Peer support services: state of the workforce - state of the field in the USA. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion, 21*(3), 168–175. doi: 10.1108/MHSI-03-2017-0015
- Rosenberg, S. D., Goodman, L. A., Osher, F. C., ... & Salyers, M. (2001). Prevalence of HIV, Hepatitis B, and hepatitis C in people with severe mental illness. *American Journal of Public Health, 91*, 31–37. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.91.1.31
- Rosenheck, R.A., Dennis, D. (2001). Time-limited assertive community treatment for homeless persons with severe mental illness. *Archives General Psychiatry, 58*, 1073–1080. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.58.11.1073
- Rosenheck, R., & Seibyl, C. L. (1998). Homelessness: Health service use and related costs. *Medical Care, 36*, 1121–1122. doi: 10.1097/00005650-199808000-00013
- Rowe, M., Kloos, B., Chinman, M., Davidson, L., & Cross, A. B. (2001). Homelessness, mental illness and citizenship. *Social Policy & Administration, 35*(1), 14–31.
- Roy, A., & Janal, M.N. (2007). Risk factors for suicide among alcohol-dependent Patients. *Archive of Suicide Research, 11*, 211–217.
- Roysircar, G., Dobbins, J. E., & Malloy, K. (2009). Diversity competence in training and clinical practice. In M. Kenkel & R. Peterson (Eds.), *Competency-based education for professional psychology* (pp. 179–197). American Psychological Association. doi:10.1037/12068-010
- Sailas, E. & Fenton, M. (2001) Seclusion and restraint for people with serious mental illnesses. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4*. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD001163/abstract>
- Salk, R. H., Hyde, J. S., & Abramson, L. Y. (2017). Gender differences in depression in representative national samples: Meta-analyses of diagnoses and symptoms. *Psychological Bulletin, 143*(8), 783–822. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000102>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (2021). *Telehealth for the Treatment of Serious Mental Illness and Substance Use Disorders*. SAMHSA Publication No. PEP21-06-02-001 Rockville, MD: National Mental Health Services Administration.
- Sanderson, S., & Thompson, V. L. S. (2002). Factors associated with perceived paternal involvement in childrearing. *Sex Roles, 46*, 99–111. doi: 10.1023/A:1016569526920
- Sands, R. G. (1995). The parenting experience of low-income single women with serious mental disorders. *Families in Society, 76*, 86–96. doi: 10.1177/104438949507600203
- Saunders, B. A., Scaturro, C., Guarino, C., & Kelly, E. (2017). Contending with catcalling: The role of system-justifying beliefs and ambivalent sexism in predicting women's coping experiences with (and men's attributions for) stranger harassment. *Current Psychology, 36*, 324–338. doi: 10.1007/s12144-016-94217
- Sartorius, N., Shapiro, R., Kimura, M., & Barrett, K. (1972). WHO international pilot study of schizophrenia. *Psychological Medicine, 2*(4), 422–425. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700045244>
- Sartorius, N., Gulbinat, W., Harrison, G., Laska, E., & Siegel, C. (1996). Long-term follow-up of schizophrenia in 16 countries. A description of the International Study of Schizophrenia conducted by the World Health Organization. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 31*(5), 249–258. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00787917>
- Seeman, M. V. (2000). Women and schizophrenia. *Medscape Women's Health, 5*(2), 1–8.
- Seeman, M.V. (2004). Gender differences in the prescribing of antipsychotic drugs. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 161*, 1324–1333.
- Servais, L. M. & Saunders, S. M. (2007). Clinical psychologists' perceptions of persons with mental illness. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 38* (2), 214–219. doi: 10.1037/0735-7028.38.2.214.
- Shields, S. A. (2008). Gender: An intersectionality perspective. *Sex Roles, 59*, 301–311. doi: 10.1007/s11199-008-9501-8
- Shuckett, M. A., Anthenelli, R. M., Buchholz, K. K., & Hesselbrock, V. M. (1995). The time course of development of alcohol-related problems in men and women. *Journal of Study of Alcohol, 56*, 218–225. doi: 10.15288/jsa.1995.56.218
- Slade, M. (2009). *Personal recovery and mental illness: A guide for mental health professionals (values-based practice)*. Cambridge University Press.
- Smith, T. B., Domenech-Rodriguez, M., & Bernal, G. (2011). *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 67*, 166–175. doi:10.1002/jclp.20757
- Smith, A. C., Thomas, E., Snoswell, C. L., Haydon, H., Mehrotra, A., Clemensen, J., & Caffery, L. J. (2020). Telehealth for global emergencies: Implications for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 26*(5), 309–313.
- Smith, C. P., Sarah, A., Cunningham, & Freyd, J.J. (2016). Sexual violence, institutional betrayal, and psychological outcomes for LGB college students. *Translational Issues in Psychological Science 2*, (4), 351.
- Soloff, P. (2000). Psychopharmacological treatment of borderline personality disorder. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 23*, 169–192. doi: 10.1016/S0193953X(05)70150-7
- Stehli, J., Martin, C., Brennan, A., Dinh, D. T., Lefkovits, J., & Zaman, S. (2019). Sex differences persist in time to presentation, revascularization, and mortality in myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention. *Journal of the American Heart Association, 8*(10), e012161.
- Stimson, J. A. 2004. *Tides of Consent: How Public Opinion Shapes American Politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Strauss, J. S., Carpenter, W. T. (1981). *Schizophrenia*. Plenum.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), (2020). *Recovery and Recovery Support*. <https://www.samhsa.gov/find-help/recovery>. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). Treatment improvement protocol for trauma-informed care. TIP 57. <https://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content/SMA14-4816/SMA14-4816.pdf>

- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Office of the Administrator. (2010). *Promoting alternatives to the use of seclusion and restraint – issue brief # 2: Major findings from SAMHSA's Alternatives to Restraint and Seclusion (ARS) State Incentive Grants (SIG) Program*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), (2012). SAMHSA's Working Definition of Recovery Updated. https://store.samhsa.gov/product/SAMHSA-s-Working-Definition-of-Recovery/PEP12-RECDEF?referer=from_search_result
- Sue, S. (2006). Cultural competency: From philosophy to research and practice. *Journal of Community Psychology, 34*, 237-245. doi: 10.1002/jcop.20095
- Sue, D. W., Ivey, A. E., & Pedersen, P.B. (1996). *A theory of multicultural counseling and therapy*. Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- Sullivan, G., Burnam, A., Koegel, P., Hollenberg, J. (2000). Quality of life of homeless persons with mental illness: results from the course-of-homelessness study. *Psychiatric Services, 51*, 1135-1141. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.51.9.1135
- Swartz, M. S., Swanson, J. W., Hiday, V. A., Borum, R., Wagner, H. R., & Burns, B. J. (1998). Violence and mental illness: The effects of substance abuse and nonadherence to medications. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 155*, 226-231.
- Swofford, C. D., Kasckow, J. W., SchellerGilkey, G., & Inderbitzin, L.B. (1996). Substance use: A powerful predictor of relapse in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research, 20*, 145-151. doi: 10.1016/0920-9964(95)00068-2
- Sylvestre, J., Notten, G., Kerman, N., Polillo, A., & Czechowki, K. (2018). Poverty and serious mental illness: Toward action on a seemingly intractable problem. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 61*(1-2), 153-165.
- Titchkosky, T. (2001). From the field—Coming out disabled: The politics of understanding. *Disability Studies Quarterly, 21*(4), 131-139. doi: 10.18061/dsq.v21i4.325
- Tondora, J., Miller, R., Slade, M., & Davidson, L. (2014). *Partnering for recovery in mental health: A practical guide to person-centered planning*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Torrey, W. C., Drake, R. E., & Bartels, S. J. (1996). Suicide and persistent mental illness: A continual clinical and risk-management challenge. In S.M. Soreff (Ed.), *Handbook for the treatment of the seriously mentally ill* (pp.295-313). Hogrefe & Huber.
- Twamley, E. W., Jeste, D.V., & Lehman, A. F. (2003). Vocational rehabilitation in schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders: A literature review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 191*, 515-523. doi: 10.1097/01.nmd.0000082213.42509.69
- Twenge, J. M. (2015). Time period and birth cohort differences in depressive symptoms in the U. S., 1982–2013. *Social Indicators Research, 121*(2), 437-454.
- Udo, T., Bitley, S., & Grilo, C. M. (2019). Suicide attempts in US adults with lifetime DSM-5 eating disorders. *BMC Medicine, 17*(1), 120. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1352-3>
- US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). COVID-19 hospitalization and death by race/ethnicity. Published August 18, 2020. Accessed August 23, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/investigations-discovery/hospitalization-death-by-race-ethnicity.html>
- Ussher, J. M. (2011). *The madness of women: Myth and experience*. Routledge.
- Valenstein, M. (2015). *Peer support programs: What works? How do we move the field forward?* https://www.nationalvoices.org.uk/sites/default/files/public/publications/peer_support_-_what_is_it_and_does_it_work.pdf
- Van Egeren, L. (2004). Assessment approaches in health psychology: Issues and practical considerations. In P. M. Camic & S. J. Knight (Eds.), *Clinical handbook of health psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 11-26). Hogrefe & Huber.
- E.M., & Speight, S.L. (2003). Multicultural competence, social justice, and counseling psychology: Expanding our roles. *The Counseling Psychologist, 31*, 253-272. doi:10.1177/001000002250634
- Walker, E. R., McGee, R. E., & Druss, B. G. (2015). Mortality in mental disorders and global disease burden implications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry, 72*, 334-41.
- Walsh C., MacMillan, H. & Jamieson E. (2002) The relationship between parental psychiatric disorder and child physical and sexual abuse: Findings for the Ontario Health Supplement. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 26*, 11-22. doi: 10.1016/S0145-2134(01)00308-8
- Wang, Q., Xu, R., Volkow, N.D. (2020). Increased risk of COVID-19 infection and mortality in people with mental disorders: analysis from electronic health records in the United States. *World Psychiatry*. Published online October 7, 2020. doi:10.1002/wps.20806 Google Scholar
- Wang, A., & Goldschmidt, V. (1994). Interviews of psychiatric patients about their family situation and young children. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 90*, 459-465. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1994.tb01625.x
- Wenzel, S. L., Koegel, P., Gelberg, L. (2000). Antecedents of physical and sexual victimization among homeless women: A comparison to homeless men. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 28*, 367-390. doi: 10.1023/A:1005157405618
- Wiersma, D. (2006). Needs of people with severe mental illness. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 429*(suppl), 115-119. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.2005.00728.x
- Wilcox, H. C., Connor, K. R., & Caine, E. D. (2004). Association of alcohol and drug use Disorders and completed suicide: an empirical review of cohort studies. *Drug Alcohol Dependence, 76*, S11-S19.
- Williams, D. R., & Cooper, L. A. (2020). COVID-19 and health equity—a new kind of “herd immunity.” *JAMA, 323*(24), 2478-2480.
- Wilton, R. (2004). Putting policy into practice? Poverty and people with serious mental illness. *Social Science & Medicine, 58*, 25-39. doi: 10.1016/S0277-9536(03)00148-5
- Witherspoon, K. M., Thomas, A. J., & Speight, S. L. (2004). Toward the development of the stereotypic roles for African American Women Scale. *Journal of Black Psychology, 30*(3), 426-442.
- Wong, Y. I., & Solomon, P. L. (2002). *Community integration of persons with psychiatric disabilities in supportive independent housing: A conceptual model and methodological considerations*. http://repository.upenn.edu/spp_papers/41
- Worell & Remer (2003). *Feminist perspectives in Therapy: Empowering diverse women*. John Wiley & Sons.

- World Health Organization. (2017). Gender and women's mental health: Gender disparities and mental health: The facts. http://www.who.int/mental_health/prevention/genderwomen/en/
- Wright, E. R., Wright, D. E., Perry, B. L., Foote-Ardah, C. E. (2007). Stigma and the sexual isolation of people with serious mental illness. *Social Problems*, 54, 78-98. doi: 10.1525/sp.2007.54.1.78
- Yoder, J. D. (2002). Context matters: Understanding tokenism processes and their impact on women's work. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 26, 1-8. doi: 10.1111/1471-6402.00038
- Zuravin, S. J., & Greif, G. (1989). Low income mothers without custody: Who are they and where are their children? *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, 16, 163-180.

Appendix A

Definitions

There are many terms used throughout these guidelines, which may be used often in specialized work with women with SMI but there may be some who read the guidelines that may not understand the terms. Therefore, the following definitions are offered as a way to ensure that those reading the document understand the use of the terms that are being conveyed.

Culturally Responsive: an approach that aims to not only be sensitive or aware but be responsive to the element of culture and the unique experience of the client. From this perspective a few goals from the literature share that a culturally responsive approach attempts to engage in some of the following ways: not only be culturally-informed but provide person-specific assessment of the presenting issue, engage in education regarding specific cultural norms and consult the literature for culture-specific treatment techniques, ensure adequate and effective training has occurred for cross-cultural competency, explore client's perspective on seeking treatment and of the therapeutic relationship, incorporate client's strengths and resources into treatment, and use technique-specific cultural modifications as appropriate (Asnaani & Hofmann, 2012).

Dynamic Sizing: understanding when to generalize and when to individualize a client's particular experiences based on various cultural contexts and multiple identities (Sue, 1998).

Empowerment Approach: an approach, which allows individuals, families, and communities to gain influence over sociopolitical factors that affect their health and well-being (Worell & Remer, 2003).

Intersectionality: is a theory that may enhance understanding how various social identities contribute to compounded levels of stigma, oppression, and privilege (Crenshaw, 1993). Intersectionality demonstrates how constructs like race and class are not separate processes but intersecting social hierarchies that determine access to power (Collins, 2000).

People-first Language: use of language that shows respect by referring to an individual first by their name and then by their disability when needed such as "person with serious mental illness." This approach demonstrates respect for the individual and that they are inherently a person first with capabilities, rather than naming them by what they are perceived to have as a disability (Blaska, 1993).

Recovery: is a shift away from traditional uses of the word "recovery," such as the absence of symptoms or substance use, but focuses on hope, self-determination, empowerment, and person-centered care (SAMHSA, 2012). The recovery-oriented care movement came out of the consumer movement in the 1960s and 1970s in which individuals in hospitals fought for their rights and the capacity to live autonomously in the community and to have a life that was more than just being a patient (Davidson, Tondora, Lawless, O'Connell, & Rowe, 2009). It means recovery occurs despite symptoms recurring or being in the hospital again. This perspective focuses on the rights of those with mental illness to be empowered, have a voice in their mental health experience, and ultimately live lives of meaning beyond the effects of mental illness. This perspective calls awareness to the reality that the experience of those with mental illness was as much about the sociopolitical experience of stigma, marginalization, and discrimination as it was about mental illness.

Recovery-oriented Care: a systems approach to mental health care that looks at how to implement recovery-oriented values and transformation so that mental health systems can partner with those in their recovery journey (Davidson et al., 2009).

Serious Mental Illness (SMI): refers to major mental health disorders that lead to serious impairment in at least one area of functioning, including social, academic/occupational, and daily living activities (Kessler et al., 2003; National Institute of Mental Health [NIMH], 2019). SMI typically includes bipolar disorder, schizophrenia spectrum disorders, severe depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

Stigma: "the threats of diminished self-esteem and of public identification when labeled "mentally ill"" (Corrigan, p. 614, 2004).

Trauma-informed Care: the provision of mental health services that take into account the understanding of the impact of interpersonal violence and victimization on an individual's life and development (Fallot & Harris, 2001). This perspective has multiple goals, but they include such perspectives as offering choice, trustworthiness, empowerment, maximizing control, and providing a recovery process.

Appendix B

History of the Development of the Guidelines

The aims of the Task Force on Women with Serious Mental Illness in Division 35 were to represent and advocate for the needs of women who experience SMI from a multicultural feminist perspective. The task force was compiled of several feminist psychologists specializing in working with individuals with SMI who were concerned about the dearth of formal attention and activism that was being pursued to represent this group of women.

The feminist rationale for developing the task force was multifold. First, the group reflected on the fact that there is a substantial number of women that experience SMI (SAMHSA, 2020). Secondly, women with SMI frequently experience other oppressive experiences putting them at disproportionate risk of unique challenges (American Psychological Association, 2009; Mizock & Carr, 2021). Furthermore, this group was concerned that women of other minority social identities who experience SMI may endure an even greater experience of oppression and marginalization (Carr et al., 2015; Mizock & Carr, 2021; Szymanski & Moffitt, 2012). As Division 35 seeks to be a leader in the field of psychology in advocacy for the rights of all women, the Task Force suggested that the multicultural feminist movement needed to become stronger in representing and advocating for the needs and rights of all women who experience SMI. Initiatives of the group included presentations at national psychological meetings to represent the unique needs of women with SMI, larger efforts to make contributions to the psychological literature on women with SMI, and the development of these *Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Women with Serious Mental Illness*. Division 35 was supportive of these initiatives and voted to transition the Task Force into a Committee on Women with Serious Mental Illness in January 2016 at their Mid-Winter Annual Meeting of the Executive Committee of Division 35, in order to provide a mechanism to continue the work of the original Task Force.

The core group began its initial focus on the development of Guidelines in 2015, including discussions with representatives from APA regarding guideline development and a review of the literature. The chairs of the Committee and Task Force, Erika Carr (Lead Chair) and Co-Chair Lauren Mizock, led the charge to develop guidelines joined by group member Shihwe Wang. Other psychologists were later assembled to provide additional edits and review of the initial draft that the core group developed. The second tier of psychologists, that joined the working group, at the end of 2017 and beginning of 2018, to edit the draft were included due to the following specializations: psychological guideline development, women's mental health, SMI, women with SMI, and multicultural feminism. The core group initially met quarterly over conference calls in order to begin to develop the guidelines. The core group began to meet monthly over conference calls during 2016, and specific writing deadlines were assigned, and feedback shared on the writing process. After an initial rough draft of the guidelines were developed the Lead chair, Erika Carr, provided a thorough edit and then next the other Co-Chair, Lauren Mizock, made an edit of the guidelines, and then the draft was edited a second time by Erika Carr, as well as reviewed by Shihwe Wang. Congruently the core group next provided another edit and discussion to address any outlying issues that remained. Other stakeholders and experts in psychological practice with women with SMI and in women's mental health then provided additional edits to the guidelines. These psychologists included: (Marcia Hunt, Stephanie Lynam, Meaghan Stacy, Pamela Remer, and Viviana Padilla-Martinez). After their edit, the core group went back and provided additional revisions based on the suggestions of those who edited the core group's draft of the guidelines.



AMERICAN
PSYCHOLOGICAL
ASSOCIATION