

Referencias:

- American Psychological Association (2023). Inclusive language guide (2nd ed.).
<https://www.apa.org/about/apa/equity-diversity-inclusion/language-guidelines.pdf>
- American Psychological Association (2019). The Academic Psychology Workforce: Characteristics of Psychology Research Doctorates in Faculty Positions (1995–2015). [www.apa.org/workforce/publications/ academic-psychology](http://www.apa.org/workforce/publications/academic-psychology)
- American Psychological Association (1988). Guidelines for Avoiding Sexism in Psychological Research. American Psychological Association
- Bol, T., de Vaan, M., & van de Rijt, A. (2022). Gender-equal funding rates conceal unequal evaluations. *Research Policy*, 51(1), 104399..
- Buser W., Batz-Barbarich C. L., Hayter J. K. (2022). Evaluation of women in economics: Evidence of gender bias following behavioral role violations. *Sex Roles*, 86, 695–710.
- Cameron, J. J., & Stinson, D. A. (2019). Gender (mis) measurement: Guidelines for respecting gender diversity in psychological research. *Social and personality psychology compass*, 13(11), e12506.
- Casad, B. J., Garasky, C. E., Jancetic, T. R., Brown, A. K., Franks, J. E., & Bach, C. R. (2022). US women faculty in the social sciences also face gender inequalities. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 792756.
- Ceci SJ, Kahn S y Williams WM. (2023). Exploring Gender Bias in Six Key Domains of Academic Science: An Adversarial Collaboration. *Psychol Sci Public Interest*. 2023 Jul;24(1):15-73. doi: 10.1177/15291006231163179. Epub 2023 Apr 26. PMID: 37098793; PMCID: PMC10394402.
- Ceci, S. J., Kahn, S., & Williams, W. M. (2014). Women in academic science: A changing landscape. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 15(3), 75-141.
- Denmark, F., Russo, N. F., Frieze, I. H., & Sechzer, J. A. (1988). Guidelines for avoiding sexism in psychological research: A report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Nonsexist Research. *American Psychologist*, 43(7), 582–585.
- Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Park, J. (2014). An incomplete list of eminent psychologists of the modern era. *Archives of Scientific Psychology*, 2(1), 20–31.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/arc0000006>
- Else-Quest, N. M., Hyde, J. S., & Linn, M. C. (2010). Cross-national patterns of gender differences in mathematics: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136(1), 103–127.
- Formanowicz, M., Witkowska, M., Hryniszak, W. et al. Gender bias in special issues: evidence from a bibliometric analysis. *Scientometrics* 128, 2283–2299 (2023).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-023-04639-z>
- Fulvio, J. M., Akinnola, I., and Postle, B. R. (2021). Gender (im)balance in citation practices in cognitive neuroscience. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 33, 3–7. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_01643

- Gruber, J., Mendle, J., Lindquist, K. A., Schmader, T., Clark, L. A., Bliss-Moreau, E., et al. (2020). The future of women in psychological science. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* 16, 483–516. doi: 10.1177/1745691620952789
- Hilsabeck, R. C., and Martin, E. M. (2010). Women and advancement in neuropsychology: real-life lessons learned. *Clin. Neuropsychol.* 24, 481–492. doi: 10.1080/13854040802360566
- Hofstra, B., Kulkarni, V. V., Munoz-Najar Galvez, S., He, B., Jurafsky, D., & McFarland, D. A. (2020). The diversity-innovation paradox in science. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117(17), 9284–9291. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915378117>
- Kaplan, S., & Ostman, R. (2020). Gender and funding in the scientific research enterprise. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(50), 30815–30821.
- Lawrence P. A. (2006). Men, women, and ghosts in science. *PLOS Biology*, 4(1), Article 19. 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040019
- Mackelprang, J. L., Johansen, E. E., & Orr, C. (2023). Gender disparities in authorship of invited submissions in high-impact psychology journals. *American Psychologist*, 78(3), 333.
- Moss-Racusin, C. A., Dovidio, J. F., Brescoll, V. L., Graham, M. J., & Handelsman, J. (2012). Science faculty's subtle gender biases favor male students. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(41), 16474–16479.
- Odic, D., & Wojcik, E. H. (2020). The publication gender gap in psychology. *American Psychologist*, 75(1), 92–103. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000480>
- Roper, R. L. (2019). Does gender bias still affect women in science?. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 83(3), e00018-19.
- Shen H. (2013). Inequality quantified: Mind the gender gap. *Nature*, 495(7439), 22–24. 10.1038/495022a
- Skitka, L. J., Melton, Z. J., Mueller, A. B., & Wei, K. Y. (2020). The gender gap: Who is (and is not) included on graduate-level syllabi in social/personality psychology. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 47(6), 863–872. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167220947326>
- Vaid, J., and Geraci, L. (2016). An examination of women's professional visibility in cognitive psychology. *Feminism Psychol.* 26, 292–319. doi: 10.1177/0959353516641139
- Witteman, H. O., Hendricks, M., Straus, S., & Tannenbaum, C. (2019). Are gender gaps due to evaluations of the applicant or the science? A natural experiment at a national funding agency. *The Lancet*, 393(10171), 531–540.